IJPPR
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
An official Publication of Human Journals
ISSN 2349-7203
HUMAN

Human Journals
Review Article
January 2018 Vol.:11, Issue:2

An Overview of Experimental Animal Models Used for Anti-Stress Screening

IJPPR
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
An official Publication of Human Journals
ISSN 2349-7203
HUMAN

Imtiyaz Ansari[1], Dr. Vanita Kanase[2], Rajeshwari Sorte*[3], Diptesh T. Patil[4]

[1, 3, 4] Department of Pharmacology, Oriental College of Pharmacy, Sector 2, Behind Sanpada Railway Station, Sanpada West, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra 400705.

[2]HOD Pharmacology, Oriental College of Pharmacy, Sector 2, Behind Sanpada Railway Station, Sanpada West, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra 400705.

Submission: 27 December 2017
Accepted: 3 January 2018
Published: 30 January 2018

Keywords: Stress, Acute and Chronic, Physiological models, pathological models, Animal models, Anti-stress Screening.

ABSTRACT

Stress is a state of mental or emotional strain or tension resulting from adverse or demanding circumstances. Various factors can lead to stress. The animals may have different appearances, but possess same physiology and anatomy as humans. Thus making them suitable candidate for In-Vivo testing of drugs to provide a significant level of the information. So that drugs can be used in humans for treatment, cure or prevention of disease. Different animal models for stress have been developed and used frequently to evaluate the anti-stress activity of compounds of both natural and synthetic origin. In present review, various physical and pathological animal models are described which gives the basic idea to mimic the "stress condition" in animals, and screening of the test substance. Both physiological and pathological screenings are not perfect, yet they are used to produce certain conditions which may lead to stress, and also helps in identification of mechanisms involved in stress. This would help in research of potential anti-stress screening in experimental animal models.

INTRODUCTION:

Stress is a state of threatened homeostasis that produces different physiological as well as pathological changes depending on severity, type and duration of stress. Exposure to hostile conditions (i.e. stressors) results in a series of coordinated responses organized to enhance the probability of survival.(1) In psychology, stress is a feeling of strain and pressure. Small amounts of stress may be desired, beneficial, and even healthy. Positive stress helps improve athletic performance. It also plays a factor in motivation, adaptation, and reaction to the environment. Excessive amounts of stress, however, may lead to bodily harm. Stress can increase the risk of strokes, heart attacks, ulcers, dwarfism, and mental illnesses such as depression. The productive stress is called Eustress while harmful stress is called Distress. Stress triggers a wide range of body changes called General Adaptation Syndrome (GAS). The stimuli, which produce GAS, are called stressors and range from physical to psychological factors including cold, heat, infection, toxins, major personal disappointment etc. (2)

The physiological changes associated with stress are mobilization of energy to maintain brain and muscle function; sharpened and focused attention of the perceived threat, enhanced cardiovascular output and respiration. Prolonged stress plays an important role in depression and neurodegenerative disorders. Stress begins with a stimulus of external or internal origin to the organism that activates the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis (HPA) and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS). This activation results in a compensatory physiological change or adaptation so that the organism can deal with the threat. The hypothalamic–adrenal axis and SNS activation lead to generation of glucocorticoids and catecholamine which together are called ‘‘stress hormones’’. These glucocorticoids inhibit HPA axis activity by feedback mechanism by binding to their receptors in the pituitary gland, hypothalamus and medial prefrontal cortex. (3)



Fig 1: Different Physiological and Pathological Changes in the Body in Response to Stressor:

ANIMAL MODELS FOR ANTI-STRESS ACTIVITY EVALUATION:

Different animal models for stress have been developed and used frequently to evaluate the anti-stress activity of compounds of both natural and synthetic origin. Research associated with stress has focused on identifying novel therapeutic modalities and understanding the mechanism of stress response by employing appropriate animal model of stress. An ideal animal model should be able to reproduce each of the aspects of stress response and should be able to mimic the natural progression of the disease. [4]

Table 1: Various animal models for screening Anti-Stress activity

PHYSICAL STRESS MODELS	PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS MODELS
• Immobilization/restrain-induced stress	• Neonatal isolation stress (maternal deprivation induced stress
• Immersion in cold water	• Predatory stress
• Cold-water restraint stress	• Day–night light change-induced stress (sleep deprivation-induced stress)
• Forced swimming induced stress	• Noise-induced stress
• Anoxic stress tolerance test	• Post-traumatic stress disorder
• Food-deprived activity stress	• Repeated social defeat stress
• EFS-induced stress	• Chronic variable/unpredictable stress models

PHYSICAL STRESS MODELS:

Many of the physical models are based on fluctuation in body temperature. Acute change in temperature leads to stressful conditions by activation of temperature regulatory center in the hypothalamus and subsequently HPA axis. It leads to acute release of adrenocortical hormones in the bloodstream responsible for acute stressful response. It causes impairment in thermoregulatory capacities. So, these animals are impaired in terminating the secretion of adrenocortical stress hormones, glucocorticoids, at the end of stress. This hormonal excess may be due to degenerative changes in a region of the brain which normally inhibits glucocorticoid release; the degeneration, in turn, is caused by cumulative exposure to glucocorticoids. A sharp decrease in temperature using either cold water or freezer has been used frequently to induce acute stress.

- Immobilization/Restrain-Induced Stress:

Immobilization of rats is considered to be a stressful procedure and has been used as a model for stress. Immobilization has been used extensively as a stressor for the study of stress-related biological, biochemical and physiological responses in animals. Immobilization can be produced in two different ways. Animal can be either kept immobilized in a semi cylindrical acrylic tube (4.5 cm diameter and 12 cm long) with proper holes in it for air to pass (immobilization-induced stress with restrainer). Another way is to keep the animal with its limbs stretched on a board and its limbs are immobilized with adhesive tape (immobilization-induced stress without restrainer). [(5)(6)]

When the animals are kept with its limbs stretched on a board and immobilized, it produces

stress in animals and increases in concentrations of blood constituents like glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and plasma cortisol.

Immobilization-induced stress with restrainer has been one of more commonly employed model for the induction of acute stress in rats and this type of physical stress has been useful for studying stress-induced neurodegeneration and post-traumatic disorders. This model has also been utilized to produce chronic stress by placing the rats in a 25 9 9 9 7 cm plastic bottle with a 1.5-cm-diameter hole at one far end for breathing and fixing it with plaster tape on the outside to restrict the movement of animal. The animals are restrained for 1 h/day, 5 days a week for 40 days.

In "Immobilization-induced stress without restrainer" animal with its limbs stretched on a board and immobilized with adhesive tape. Movement of head is restricted by keeping the head in a metal loop coiled around the neck. The rats are kept immobilized for 150 min once to produce acute stress and for 7–10 days to produce chronic stress. Immobilization induced stress can be produced by subjecting the animals to variable stress duration such as 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, 4 h and 6 h.

The major advantage of using immobilization as a model of stress is that it produces an inescapable physical and mental stress to which adaptation is seldom exhibited. [7]

Mice can be also used in this model with modifications.

- Immersion in Cold Water:

In this method, the rats are placed individually in a tank (25 X 35 X 40 cm) of cold water (depth 15.5 cm; temperature 15–20°C) for 15 min, where they either swim or remain in an upright position, keeping their heads above the water level. A modification has been made in the method by subjecting the animals to Coldwater immersion stress for 5 min at 4°C and this situation lasts for 15 min unless the rats sink. In that event, rats are removed before the cut-off time and are not included in the experiments. Stressors are applied, both acutely (5–15 min) and chronically (during 4, 12 and 20 days) at the onset of the light phase as well as at the onset of the dark phase of the light/dark cycle. Immersion in cold water elicits a clear increase in plasmatic corticosterone levels, regardless of the time cycle of the stressors. For acute stress, rats are killed 30 min after the stress exposure. For chronic stress, animals are exposed to this stressor for 7–10 days and thereafter, the rats are killed 1 h after the last stress

session. The major advantage of this type of stressor is that acute stress can be achieved in a relatively short period of time. However, the major drawback of this model is that the body adapts to change in temperature on chronic exposure to low temperature and hence, stress response gets highly diminished with repeated episodes of stress. [8][9] [10]

- Cold-Water Restraint Stress:

It is combination of both immobilization and cold stress. The combination of body-restraint procedure with exposure to the cold environment drastically increases the occurrence of gastric ulceration in a shorter period of time (3 h). Two different cold exposures have been employed to enhance body-restraint ulceration. One of two different cold exposure procedures involve the immobilization of the animal, generally in a supine position, and then placing the animal in a cold environment (5 ± 1°C) such as a refrigerator for 3 h. The other procedure involves the restraining of animal in a cylindrical tube and then immersing vertically in cold water (22°C) for 1 h. It has been shown that combination of these two stressors produces severe form of stress that mobilizes catecholaminergic systems in brain areas associated with behavioural responses to aversive stimuli as well as neuroendocrine responses of the HPA axis, including changes in corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF), adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) plasma levels and adrenergic receptors in the pituitary. It has been suggested that combinations of different stressors are better ulcerogenic stimuli when compared with each one alone. Some researchers have made modification in the method by increasing the duration to stress exposure up to three and a half hours. [11] [12] [13]

- Forced Swimming Induced Stress:

It is the tendency of the living being to escape or avoid some noxious stimuli/condition. If the animal is not able to escape the stressful stimuli or it feels threatened, the animal will show stress response. This principle is used for developing forced swimming model for inducing stress in laboratory animals. In order to produce swimming-induced stress, rats are made to swim in a cylinder (30 cm in diameter and filled to a height of 20 cm with 15 cm of space above the head of the rat) for a single session of 2-h duration for acute stress, or for one 2-h session a day for five consecutive days for chronic stress. Some scientists have used forced swimming in warm (20ºC) water for 3 min with the total session lasting for 1 h. Other researchers have used forced swimming in cold water (4ºC) in a container 15 cm in diameter and 20 cm in height with water filled to a depth of 11 cm for 3 min. Another modification

made in the method is forced swimming in water at 22 ± 1ºC. Although forced swimming-induced stress is a highly safe model, adaptation to chronic swimming-induced stress has been reported and inter-strain differences between rats to forced swimming behavior have also been documented.[14] [15] [16] [17]

- Anoxic Stress Tolerance Test:

Stress can be produced in animal by subjecting them to anoxia, when animals are placed in airtight container they show typical convulsions due to stress. In this test Swiss albino mice (25 ± 2 g) of either sex are used. Animals are divided into groups and doses were given as previously decided. Conical flasks of 250 mL capacity are used for the study. These flasks are made airtight using rubber cork before beginning the experiment. On day 14th and 21st, 1 hour after the treatment, each animal is placed in the airtight vessel and time is observed using a stopwatch. The moment animal showed first convulsion, it is removed immediately from the vessel. The time duration from the entry of the animal in the hermetic (conical flask) vessel to the appearance of the first convulsion is taken as the time of "Anoxic stress tolerance". The data obtained are subjected to statistical analysis. [18]

- Food-Deprived Activity Stress:

Food-deprived activity stress has been defined as the condition in which rats were forced to run on a wire wheel while food consumption is restricted. Food-deprived activity stress gradually increases the hyperactivity on the running wheel and decreases food consumption. Food deprived activity stress reduces food consumption, changes the locomotor activity and induces hyperactivity of wheel running. The animals are subjected to forced running on an activity wheel and are also subjected to an additional stress induced through food deprivation for 22.5 h/day and are permitted to take food and water for 1.5 h/day. [19]

- EFS-Induced Stress:

EFS of mild intensity has also been used as a stressor. Rodents are very susceptible even to mild shock and exhibit rapid stress response. Researchers have used EFS of varying degree to produce stressful conditions and hence to evaluate adaptogenic activity of various compounds. Stress by EFS is given by placing the rats individually in a chamber with an electrified floor. It has been reported that the stressor is considered clinically relevant on repeated administration. Accordingly, foot shock stressor is usually applied repeatedly. In one

of the protocols, rats are administered EFS of 2 mA intensity of 10-s duration at the interval of 50s for 2 h in a day for 14 days using EFS generator. Earlier reports had shown development of EFS-induced analgesia in rats by applying inescapable and unsignaled shock of intensity of 2 mA, 0.2 Hz and 1-s duration for 30 min. In another protocol, stress has been produced in mice by applying EFS for 0.5s duration after every 5 s for 30 min for five consecutive days. In this protocol mild current was applied, just sufficient to produce behaviour changes in animals such as jumping or squeaking, and the current intensity was kept below 1 mA. Various other modifications have been done in the EFS-induced stress by changing the current or duration of shock. Four unsignaled electric shocks (2s, 0.8 mA, pulsed) are delivered at a variable inter-stimulus interval of 1 min in a box equipped with a grid floor consisting of 24 electrifiable steel rods and electrifiable walls. In another type, rats receive unavoidable EFS with an intensity of 3 mA (50 Hz), duration of 200 ms and a frequency of 1 per second over a 5-min period. Other models include administration of inescapable EFS for 60 min (0.15 mA shock, on a variable interval schedule with a mean intershock interval of 60 s); 90 V, 0.8 mA for 1 s randomly for 30 and 60 min in total. For acute stress response, the rats are exposed once and killed after 15 min of stress. Chronic stress is also produced by repeating the same treatment for 7–10 days and rats are killed 1 h after the last stress session. The biggest advantage of this model is that it effectively produces high degree of stress in the animal. The major disadvantage of this model is the hazard of electric shock causing death of the animal and special caution that is required to perform this methodology. [20] [21] [22] [23]

PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS MODELS:

Ogawa and Kuwahara described the development of psychological stress using communication box in mice and others have modified the method. In this method, the mice are placed in the 30 X 30 X 30 cm chamber with a grid floor composed of 1.5 mm stainless steel rods 7 mm apart from each other and divided into nine compartments of 10 9 10 9 30 cm with transparent plastic walls. A scrambled electric shock (2 mA, 0.2 Hz, 1 s duration) is delivered for 5 min through the floor grid. Plastic plates placed on the grids of five compartments prevent the animals from receiving direct electric current. However, the mice are exposed to psychological stress for 5 min by watching and hearing the struggle, jumping and vocalization of shocked animals in the adjacent compartments on application of electric shock. [21] [24]

- Neonatal Isolation Stress (Maternal Deprivation Induced Stress):

Early life events have profound consequences on subsequent quality of life. It has been shown that the early life stress of neonatal isolation in rats has immediate and enduring neural and behavioral effects. Rearing rats in isolation post-weaning is an animal model of social deprivation that recapitulates features of limbic-based psychopathology in humans. Rodents reared in deprivation of social contact exhibit an abnormal behavioral phenotype that includes hyperlocomotion in response to a novel environment, altered habituation and disrupted exploratory behaviors. Brief isolation of an individual pup from the dam and litter, repeatedly, is an effective method to stimulate HPA axis without altering the growth in neonates and adults. Such effects may reflect the stress-induced morphological changes in hippocampus and other brain regions. In fact, the hippocampus provides negative feedback regulation of the HPA axis and hence neonatal isolation-induced stress can represent the stress response that may lead to neurodegeneration at an early stage of life. This stress procedure is also useful in evaluating the effect of stress on cognition and memory development. In the neonatal isolation procedure, the litter of the inbred strain is removed from the cage on the second day after the birth, weighed and placed individually in an opaque plastic container (9 cm diameter and 8 cm deep) with no bedding for 1 h in a heated (30°C), humidity-controlled chamber with white noise to mask other pups' calls. The chamber has to be located in a room separate from animal colony facility. Containers are placed 20–30 cm apart. After 1 h period, the litters are placed back with their dams in home cage. This isolation procedure continues up to 8 days and hence it is used to induce chronic stress only. Neonatal isolation stress model has been used extensively to demonstrate the effect of early lifetime stress on vulnerability to addiction and response to psychostimulants by impairment of hippocampus-dependent context induced fear in adult male rats. Neonatal isolation model has been used extensively to study the persistent changes in the dopamine levels resulting from neonatal stress. [25] [26] [27] [28]

- Predatory Stress:

Direct encounter of an animal with its natural predator is one of the most stressful and anxiogenic event it can face and it leads to rapid development of 'flight or fight' response. Exposure of rodents to natural predators or to their odors may induce stress-like states. Under such circumstances, there is rapid sympathetic activation leading to rise in the levels of adrenocorticoids in blood causing acute stress response to develop. Direct encounter with a

predator has been effectively used to evaluate the biochemical and physiological changes produced during such stressful conditions. Predatory stress in mice is induced by series of short exposures to natural predator like cat or to any substance having the odor of cat like the fecal pellets of cat. In one of the methods, mice are placed individually in different cages and after four initial 20-min cage habituation sessions each subject is submitted to two randomly assigned 20-min predator confrontation sessions. Change in behavioral pattern such as locomotion, shrieking-like voices. and endocrinological changes after the stress exposure are observed. Another free-exploration test has been used, which consists of a PVC box (30 X 20 X 20 cm) covered with Plexiglas and subdivided into six equal square exploratory units, which are all interconnected by small entries. It is divided half lengthwise by closing three temporary partitions. Approximately 20 h before cat exposure, each subject is placed in one half of the apparatus with the temporary partitions in place, in order to be familiarized with it. The floor of this half is covered with fresh sawdust and the animal is given unlimited access to food and water. On the test day, mice of each strain are randomly allocated to the following four groups:

(a) Naïve + clay animals are exposed to both familiar and novel compartments by removal of the temporary partitions. The novel compartment contains three odor-free clay pellets as models.

(b) Naïve + feces animals are exposed to both familiar and novel compartments. The novel compartment contains three cat feces pellets.

(c) Exposed + clay subjects are removed from the free exploration box and confronted individually with a cat during a 5-min session. The cat cage consists of a PVC box (82 X 56 X 62 cm) subdivided into two compartments, one containing the cat and the other the mouse. Separation consists of a transparent PVC wall with holes allowing the cat to reach the other side with its paws. The mouse is then put back in the free exploration apparatus and is exposed 1 h later to both familiar and novel compartments. The novel compartment contains three modeling odor-free clay pellets.

(d) Exposed + feces same as previous group, but the novel compartment contains three pellets of feces from the cat used during exposure. The behavior of the mouse is observed under red light for 5 min.

Marmosets (Callithrix penicillata) have also been employed for induction of predatory stress

in a test battery known as Marmoset Predator Confrontation Test (MPCT).[31] This model compares the behavioral response of experienced versus naive adult black tufted-ear marmosets in confrontation with a taxidermized wild-cat predator stimulus. After four initial 20-min cage habituation sessions, each subject is submitted to two randomly assigned 20-min predator confrontation sessions. Confrontation with the predator induces significant behavioral changes; i.e., proxemic avoidance and tsik-tsik alarm call. Anti-stress drug administration, concomitant to predator exposure, reverses the behavioral changes observed. Predator-induced stress is an established model to induce short-term acute stress response but its major disadvantage is development of habituation to predator exposure; hence, the use of this model for inducing stress is justified for developing only acute stress. [29] [30] [32]

- Day–Night Light Change-Induced Stress (Sleep Deprivation-Induced Stress):

Changes in the circadian rhythm have profound effect on physical and psychological well-being of an individual. Laboratory animals, when subjected to abrupt changes in day–night light pattern, exhibit acute stress response. Changes in circadian rhythms are regulated by pineal gland through the secretion of melatonin. Melatonin is released from the pineal gland in response to dark or dim light whereas its functional antagonist serotonin is secreted in response to bright light. The serotonin–melatonin cycle is responsible for regulation of sleep–awake state of the body. Sleep deprivation is documented to be responsible for causing several forms of memory deficits. There is an increase in the hypothalamic and thalamic oxidative stress level following sleep deprivation, which in turn is responsible for the cognitive impairment. To induce stress, cages of rat or mice are kept under bright light from 1900 hours overnight (in the dark phase) and cages are kept in dark room with no light from 1200 hours in the light phase for 180 min for 7–10 days. This method is suitable for inducing short-term stress response. Another modification made in the method is by using multiple platform method. Groups of 4–6 animals are placed in the water tanks (41 cm X 34 cm X 16.5 cm), containing 12 platforms (3 cm in diameter) each, surrounded by water up to 1 cm beneath the surface, for 72 h. In this method, the animals are able to move inside the tank, jumping from one platform to the other. Generation of stress can be evaluated by measuring the biochemical parameters associated with chronic stress response. The major disadvantage of this model is that it can be effectively used only to generate short-term stress response, as on repeated exposure to this type of stressor the animal adapts to the changed day-night light pattern. This major drawback can be minimized by using this model as a part of chronic

unpredictable stress protocol. [33] [34] [35] [36] [37]

- Noise-Induced Stress:

Noise is one of the most widespread sources of environmental stress in living environments. A large number of people are exposed to potentially hazardous levels of noise levels in daily modern life. Acute noise exposures activate the autonomic and hormonal systems, leading to temporary changes such as increased blood pressure, increased heart rate and vasoconstriction. After prolonged exposure, susceptible individuals in the general population may develop permanent effects, such as hypertension and ischemic heart disease that are associated with exposures to high sound pressure levels. Experimental studies have demonstrated ultra-structural modifications in rat cardiomyocytes mainly in mitochondria due to noise stress. These subcellular alterations are related to an imbalance in calcium homeostasis, which is supposed to be sustained by increased catecholamine innervations. When noise exposure of any kind exceeds 90 dB, noise becomes a stressor. Noise stress has a depletory effect on free radical scavenging enzymes in the brain leading to moderate to severe oxidative stress which can be a potential basis for hearing loss. Studies have revealed that the exposure to noise stress alters the biogenic amine levels in discrete region of the brain. Noise stress in laboratory rats can be produced by loudspeakers (15 W), driven by a white noise generator (0–26 kHz), installed 30 cm above the cage. Thus, a noise level can be set at 100 dB or above uniformly throughout the cage and can be monitored using a sound level meter. Each animal to be treated is exposed to noise stress for 4 h/ day for 15 days. An acute model has also been developed involving exposure of rats to noise stressor of 10 kHz, 100 dB stress for 30 min. Control group rats are also kept in the above-described cage during the corresponding period of time, without noise stimulation to avoid the influence of handling stress on evaluation of effects due to noise exposure. The effect of noise stress exposure can be determined by estimating the brain biogenic amine level. [38] [39] [40] [41]

- Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder:

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a debilitating anxiety disorder which develops in a subset of people after they experience emotional trauma. Several animal models of PTSD have been developed based on exposure to predator stress and social stress. The studies have shown that inescapable exposure of cat (predator) to immobilized rats elicits intense fear in rats. It is associated with inhibition of hippocampal functioning and enhancement of

amygdaloidal activity, which is a clinical feature of PTSD. A core symptom of PTSD is the repeated re-experiencing of the traumatic event, such that patients often feel as if the trauma is actually happening at the present. Therefore, rats are given a second inescapable cat exposure episode 10 day after the first to provide them with a reminder of their traumatic experience. The rats are immobilized in Plexiglas enclosure (20 X 20 X 8 cm) and are taken to the cat housing room where they were placed in a metal cage (24 X 21 X 20 in.) with an adult cat for 1 h. The Plexiglas enclosure prevents any contact between the cat and rats, but the rats are exposed to all non-tactile sensory stimuli associated with the cat. The rats are exposed to same stressor after 10 days. [42] [43] [44]

The predictability is an important factor in PTSD development and expression. Therefore, to minimize the predictability of cat exposure, second exposure to cat is done during the dark cycle as compared with first exposure in light cycle. Unstable housing is an important factor in producing the full PTSD-like behavioral and physiological profile. Therefore, rats in stress are exposed to unstable housing conditions by randomly arranging in different cage–mate pairs on a daily basis and no rat in the stress group encounters the same cage-mate for two consecutive days. The major limitation is that cat exposure in conjunction with immobilization lacks sense of horror and helplessness during trauma that is commonly reported by PTSD patients. Though these animal models present behavioral alterations resembling PTSD, yet, they fail to show the most consistent neuroendocrinologic characteristics observed in PTSD patients, namely, enhanced inhibition of the HPA axis. Liberzon et al. proposed single-prolonged stress (SPS) as an animal model of PTSD and rats exposed to SPS show enhanced inhibition of the HPA system. The typical SPS procedure for creating animal model of PTSD consists of a 2-h restraint in an acrylic animal holder, followed immediately by 20 min of forced swimming (temperature 25C, water depth 40 cm). Thereafter, the rats are allowed to recuperate for 15 min. Then the rats were exposed to ether vapor until loss of consciousness and then placed in their cages and left undisturbed until the experimental manipulations. [45] [46] [47] [48]

- Repeated Social Defeat Stress:

Repeated social-defeat stress provides a more naturalistic model of stress characterized by aggressive interactions that are intense, unpredictable and inescapable. The social defeat model has been characterized by the physiological and behavior associated with anxiety and depression. Social defeat is considered an ecologically and ethologically relevant animal

model of psychosocial stress that produces enduring behavioral and neurochemical sensitization in defeated individuals. Social defeat stress consisted of a brief aggressive confrontation between experimental intruder rats and aggressive resident rats. To induce social defeat stress, a mouse (the 'intruder') is transiently placed in the home cage of a resident male mouse (the 'aggressor'). Before starting the social stress procedure, the resident male mouse is housed with a normal cycling female to enhance territorial behavior and aggressiveness and is followed by removal of females from the resident's cage. Thereafter, the intruder mouse is introduced for a 20-min trial and five such trials are given a day for 3–6 days. Alternatively, rats are exposed to social defeat once every 72 h over the course of 10 days (i.e., four stress exposures). The social defeat behavior is characterized by social defeat posture consisting of immobility; escape; crouching (four paws on ground, not orienting toward resident), and defensive upright stance (standing still and erect with forepaws extended). [49] [50] [51] [52]

- Chronic Variable/Unpredictable Stress Models:

The major disadvantage of both physical stress models and psychological stress models is the development of adaptation/resistance on chronic exposure. The changes in physiological and behavioral responses to chronic stress can be related to the adaptation of the HPA axis. When the same stressor is repeated, the HPA response undergoes desensitization or become stable as it has been reported that rodents repeatedly exposed to restraint stress exhibited a habituated corticosterone response, when they were subsequently challenged with an acute exposure to restraint. [53] [54]

The other hand, the exposure to a multiple stress paradigm produces continued elevation in corticosterone levels, when the animals were subsequently subjected to acute restraint stress. It has also been suggested that the adaptations of HPA axis depend on type, duration and severity of the stress regime. To prevent the development of resistance, chronic unpredictable stress models have been developed, which involve the use of various physical and psychological stressors in a predetermined manner so that the animal is not able to adapt to the stressor. Different research groups have employed different stressors for variable periods such as 10 days, 21 days and 40 days. [55] [56] [57]

In chronic unpredictable stress protocol for 10 days, animals are subjected to different stressors over a period of 10 days. One of the following stressors are administered daily (in

random order) over a period of 10 days, like restraint stress, cold isolation, swim stress, sleep deprivation, food/water deprivation etc. Similarly, in chronic unpredictable stress protocol for 21 days, animals are subjected to different stressors over a period of 21 days. One of the following stressors are administered daily (in random order) over a period of 3 weeks: fasting food deprivation for 20 h; water deprivation for 17 h; swimming at 4C for 5 min; heat stress (40C) for 5 min; 45 cage tilt for 17 h; shaker stress (horizontal shakes at high speed) for 10 min; restraint stress for 2 h; soiled bedding (200 mL water in 100 g sawdust bedding) for 5 h; persistent illumination (light for 17 h); tail pinch for 2 min; and intermittent white noise for 5 min. Immediately after each stress session, the rats are returned to the single room and maintained in standard conditions until the next session of the chronic unpredictable stress regime.

In another chronic unpredictable stress protocol for 40 days, animals are subjected to one stressor per day, at different times each day, in order to minimize predictability. The following stressors are used:

(a) 24 h of water deprivation,

(b) 1–3 h of restraint

(c) 1.5–2 h of restraint at 4C,

(d) flashing light during 120–210 min,

(e) isolation (2–3 days),

(f) inclination of the home cages at a 45 angle for 4–6 h,

(g) damp bedding (300 mL water spilled onto bedding during 1.5–2 h).

Restraint is carried out by placing the animal in a 25 X 9 X 7 cm plastic tube and adjusting it with plaster tape on the outside so that the animal is unable to move. There is a 1-cm diameter hole at the far end for breathing. Exposure to flashing light is made by placing the animal in a 50-cm high, 40 X 9 X 60 cm open field made of brown plywood with a frontal glass wall. A 40-W lamp, flashing at a frequency of 60 flashes/min, is used. Some researchers have used exposure to predator odor-induced stress as a part of CUS protocol, in which mice are placed in a novel cage containing cat litter soiled with cat feces and urine. Various

authors have modified the stress models in order to accommodate them in their respective chronic unpredictable stress protocol. Other additional stressors that have been applied as a part of chronic unpredictable stress protocol are tail pinch with a clothes-pin placed 1 cm distal from the base of the tail for 5 min, strong illumination during predicted dark phase for 12 h, movement restriction in a small cage (11 cm X 16 cm X 7 cm) for 2 h, ether anesthesia until loss of reflex and subcutaneous 0.9% saline injection. [55] [58]

CONCLUSION:

None of the preclinical models is able to entirely reproduce different aspects of stress response as observed in clinical setup. Some models reproduce physical stress successfully and associated neuroendocrine changes, whereas others better reproduce the psychological stress and associated behavioral changes. Furthermore, animals tend to develop adaptation in response to same type of stressor. In these conditions, chronic unpredictable models are more advantageous because models involve the use of various physical and psychological stressors in a predetermined manner so that the animal is not able to adapt to the stressor. The psychological models offer advantage over physical methods with regard to ethical issue and pain inflicted during stress protocols. Nevertheless, the development of different animal models of stress has paved a way for identifying the effective therapeutic agents for ameliorating stress-related behavioral and pathological changes. Furthermore, the mechanisms involved in stress adaptation are not yet clear and these models are also helpful in delineating the mechanisms involved in stress adaptation also. Despite their drawbacks, animal models are invaluable tools for investigation of the neurobiology stress-related disorders.

REFERENCES:

1. Ravindran R, Rathinaswamy SD, Samson J, Senthilvelan M (2005) Noise-stress-induced brain neurotransmitter changes and the effect of Ocimum sanctum (Linn) treatment in albino rats. J Pharm Sci 98:354–360
2. Selye H. The evolution of stress concept. American Scientist. 61,1973,693-9.
3. De Kloet ER, Joels M, Oitzl M, Sutanto W . Implication of brain corticosteroid receptor diversity for the adaptation syndrome concept. Methods AchievExpPathol 1991;14:104–132
4. Jaggi AS, Bhatia N, Kumar N, Singh N, Anand P, Dhawan R, Neurol "A review on animal models for screening potential anti-stress agents" Sci. 2011; 32(6):993-1005.
5. Marty O, Martyn M and Gavalda A: Inhibition of corticosteroid- binding globulin caused by severe stressor is apparently mediated by the adrenal but not the glucocorticoid receptors. Endocrinology 1997; 6: 159-164.
6. Das A, Kapoor K, Sayeepriyadarshani AT, Dikshit M, Palit G and Nath C: Immobilization stress induced change in brain acetylcholinesterase activity and cognitive function in mice. Pharmacology Research 2000; 42: 213-217.

7. Kasuga S, Ushijima M, Morihara N, Itakura Y, Nakata Y. Effect of Aged Garlic Extract (AGE) on hyperglycemia induced by immobilization stress in mice. Jpn J Pharmacol 1999;114:191–197
8. Blustein JE, Ciccolone L, Bresh PJ. Evidence that adaptation to cold water swim-induced analgesia is a learned response. Physiol Behav 1998;63:147–150
9. Pitman DL, Ottenweller JE, Natelson BH. Plasma corticosterone levels during repeated presentation of two intensities of restraint stress: chronic stress and habituation. Physiol Behav 1988;43:47–56
10. Retana-Marquez S, Bonilla-Jaime H, Vazquez-Palacios G, Dominguez-Salazar E, Martinez-Garcia R, Velazquez J . Body weight gain and diurnal differences of corticosterone changes in response to acute and chronic stress in rats. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2003;28:207–227
11. Brzozowski T, Konturek PC, Konturek SJ, Drozdowicz D, Pajdo R, Pawlic M, Brzozowska I, Hahn EG. Expression of cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and COX-2 in adaptive cytoprotection induced by mild stress. J Physiol Pharmacol 2000;94:83–91.
12. Fernandez JL. Analysis of the cold-water restraint procedure in gastric ulceration and body temperature. Physiol Behav 2004;82:827–833.
13. Filaretova LP, Filaretov AA, Makara GB.Corticosterone increase inhibits stress-induced gastric erosions in rats. Am J Physio1998;l274:G1024–G1030
14. Armario A, Gavalda A, Marti J . Comparison of the behavioral and endocrine response to forced swimming stress in five inbred strains of rats. Psychoneuroendocrinology 1995;20:879–890
15. Atcheson JB, Tyler FH.Circadian rhythm: man and animals. In: Greep RO, Astwood EB (eds) Handbook of physiology, vol 6. American Physiological Society, Washington, DC, 1975; pp 127–134
16. Ferry A, Weill B, Amiridis I, Laziry F, Rieu M (1991) Splenic immunomodulation with swimming-induced stress in rats. Immunol Lett 29:261–264
17. Kitchen I, Pinker SR. Antagonism of swim-stress induced antinociception by the delta-opioid receptor antagonist naltrindole in adult and young rats. Physiol Behav 1990;100:685–688
18. Ishola IO, Ashorobi RB, Adoluwa O. Evalution of antistress potential and phytochemical constituents of aqueous root extract of Alchornaecordifolia. Asian J Sci Res. 2008; 1:476–80
19. Endou M, Yanaia K, Sakuraia E, Fukudob S, Hongo M, Watanab T. Food-deprived activity stress decreased the activity of the histaminergic neuron system in rats. Brain Res 2001;891:32–41
20. Izumi R, Takahashi M, Kaneto H . Involvement of different mechanisms, opioid and non-opioid forms, in the analgesia induced by foot-shock (FS) and immobilized-water immersion (IW) stress. Jpn J Pharmacol 1983;33:1104–1106 52.
21. Jodar L, Takahashi M, Kaneto H. Effects of footshock-, psychological- and forced swimming-stress on the learning and memory processes: involvement of opioidergic pathways. Jpn J Pharmacol 1995;67:143–147
22. Wei C, Zhou J, Huang X, Li M. Effects of psychological stress on serum iron and erythropoiesis. Int J Hematol 2008;88:52–56
23. Yamamoto S, Motomura A, Akahoshi A, Takahashi K, Minami H . Immunoglobulin secretions in the mesenteric lymph node in stressed rats. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo) 2009;55:191–194
24. Ogawa N, Kuwahara H. Psychophysiology of emotion communication of emotion. SheishinShintaiigaku 1966;6:352–357
25. Knuth ED, Etgen AM.Long-term behavioral consequences of brief repeated neonatal isolation. Brain Res 2007;1128: 139–147
26. Kosten TA, Karanian DA, Yeh J, Haile CN, Kim JJ, Kehoe P, Bahr BA.Memory impairments and hippocampal modifications in adult rats with neonatal isolation stress experience. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2007;88:167–176
27. Kosten TA, Zhang XY, Kehoe P. Neurochemical and behavioral responses to cocaine in adult male rats with neonatal isolation experience. J PharmacolExpTher 2005;314:661–667
28. Kosten TA, Zhanga XY, Kehoeb P. Chronic neonatal isolation stress enhances cocaine-induced increases in ventral striatal dopamine levels in rat pups. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 2003;141:109–116
29. Berton F, Vogel E, Belzung C. Modulation of mice anxiety in response to cat odor as a consequence of predator's diet. Physiol Behav 1998;65:247–254
30. Blanchard RJ, Blanchard DC. Antipredator defensive behaviors in a visible burrow system. J Comp Physiol 1989;103:70–82

31. Cilia J, Piper DC. Marmoset conspecific confrontation: an ethologically-based model of anxiety. PharmacolBiochemBehav 1997;58:85–91
32. Barros M, Silva de Souza MA, Huston JP, Carlos T. Multibehavioral analysis of fear and anxiety before, during, and after experimentally induced predatory stress in Callithrix penicillata. PharmacolBiochemBehav 2004;78:357–367
33. Maquet P.The role of sleep in learning and memory. Science 2001;294:1048–1051 87.
34. Marin MT, Cruz FC, Planeta CS. Chronic restraint or variable stresses differently affect the behaviour, corticosterone secretion and body weight in rats. Physiol Behav 2007;90:29–35
35. Rai D, Bhatia G, Sen T, Palit G.Comparative study of perturbations of peripheral markers in different stressors in rats. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 2003;81:1139–1146 105.
36. Ramsey JM.Modern stress and the disease process. In: Basic physiology. Addison-Wesley, California1982; pp 177–179
37. Silva RH, Kameda SR, Carvalho RC, Takatsu-Coleman AL, Niigaki ST, Abı´lio VC, Tufik S, Frussa-Filho R.Anxiogenic effect of sleep deprivation in the elevated plus-maze test in mice. Psychopharmacology 2004;176:115–122
38. Paparelli A, Soldani P, Breschi MC, Martinotti E, Scatizzi R, Berrettini S.Effect of subacute exposure to noise on the noradrenergic innervation of the cardiovascular system in young and aged rats: a morphofunctional study. J Neural Transm Gen Sect 1992;88:105–113
39. Rummel C, Sachot C, Poole S, Luheshi GN. Circulating interleukin-6 induces fever through a STAT3-linked activation of COX-2 in the brain. Am J PhysiolRegulIntegr Comp Physio2006;l291: R1316–R1326
40. Samson J, Sheeladevi R, Ravindran R, Senthilvelan M.Biogenic amine changes in brain regions and attenuating action of Ocimum sanctum in noise exposure. PharmacolBiochemBehav 2006;83:67–75
41. Sembulingam K, Sembulingam P, Namasivayam A.Effect of Ocimum sanctum Linn on the changes in central cholinergic system induced by acute noise stress. J Ethnopharmacol 2005;96:477–482
42. Blanchard RJ, Blanchard DC. Antipredator defensive behaviors in a visible burrow system. J Comp Physiol 1989;103:70–82
43. Bremner JD, Elzinga B, Schmahl C, Vermetten EE.Structural and functional plasticity of the human brain in posttraumatic stress disorder. Prog Brain Res 2008;167:171–186
44. Park CR, Zoladz PR, Conrad CD, Fleshner M, Diamond DM (2008) Acute predator stress impairs the consolidation and retrieval of hippocampus-dependent memory in male and female rats. Learn Mem 15:271–280
45. Wang W, Liu Y, Zheng H, Wang HN, Jin X, Chen YC, Zheng LN, Luo XX, Tan QR (2008) A modified single-prolonged stress model for post-traumatic stress disorder. Neurosci Lett 441:237–241
46. Xiao B, Yu B, Wang HT, Han F, Shi YX. Single-prolonged stress induces apoptosis by activating cytochrome C/caspase-9 pathway in a rat model of post-traumatic stress disorder l. MolNeurobiol 2011;31:37–43
47. Zoladz PR, Conrad CD, Fleshner M, Diamond DM. Acute episodes of predator exposure in conjunction with chronic social instability as an animal model of post-traumatic stress disorder. Stress 2008;11:259–281
48. Li M, Han F, Shi Y. Expression of locus coeruleus mineralocorticoid receptor and glucocorticoid receptor in rats under single-prolonged stress. Neurol Sci 2011;32:625–631
49. Fanous S, Hammer RP Jr, Nikulina EM. Short- and longterm effects of intermittent social defeat stress on brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression in mesocorticolimbic brain regions. Neuroscience 2010;167:598–607
50. Kabbaj M, Norton CS, Kollack-Walker S, Watson SJ, Robinson TE, Akil H. Social defeat alters the acquisition of cocaine self-administration in rats: role of individual differences in cocaine-taking behavior. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2001;158:382– 387
51. McLaughlin JP, Li S, Valdez J, Chavkin TA, Chavkin C. Social defeat stress-induced behavioral responses are mediated by the endogenous kappa opioid system. Neuropsychopharmacology 2006;31:1241–1248
52. Nikulina EM, Covington HE III, Ganschow L, Hammer RP Jr, Miczek KA. Long-term behavioral and neuronal cross sensitization to amphetamine induced by repeated brief social defeat stress: fos in the ventral tegmental area and amygdala. Neuroscience 2004;123:857–866

53. Gadek-Michalska A, Bugajski J . Repeated handling, restraint, or chronic crowding impairs the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical response to acute restraint stress. J Physiol Pharmacol 2003;54:449–459
54. Magarinos AM, Mc Evans BS. Stress induced atrophy of apical dendrites of hippocampal CA3c neurons: comparison of stressors. Neurosci 1995;69:83–88
55. Li DQ, Li XJ, Duan JF, Cai W.Wuling capsule promotes hippocampal neurogenesis by improving expression of connexin 43 in rats exposed to chronic unpredictable mild stress. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao 2010;8:662–669
56. Marin MT, Cruz FC, Planeta CS.Chronic restraint or variable stresses differently affect the behaviour, corticosterone secretion and body weight in rats. Physiol Behav 2007;90:29–35
57. Tagliari B, dos Santos TM, Cunha AA, Lima DD, Delwing D, Sitta A, Vargas CR, Dalmaz C, Wyse AT. Chronic variable stress induces oxidative stress and decreases butyrylcholinesterase activity in blood of rats. J Neural Transm 2010;117: 1067–1076
58. Ladd CO, Thrivikraman KV, Huot RL, Plotsky PM. Differential neuroendocrine response to chronic variable stress in adult Long Evans rats exposed to handling-maternal separation as neonates. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2004;30(6):520–533