



IJPPR

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
An official Publication of Human Journals

ISSN 2349-7203



Human Journals

Review Article

March 2018 Vol.:11, Issue:4

© All rights are reserved by Neelima Mishra et al.

DNA Probe Labelling Detection Method and Their Application in Medical Research: A Review

			
IJPPR INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH An official Publication of Human Journals			
Neelima Mishra*, Neeta Rai, Akhlesh Singhai			
<i>Lakshmi Narain College of Pharmacy, Bhopal (M.P)</i>			
Submission:	24 February 2018		
Accepted:	2 March 2018		
Published:	31 March 2018		

Keywords: PCR Polymerase chain reaction, DNA - Deoxyribonucleic Acid, RNA Ribonucleic Acid

ABSTRACT

DNA probe is a nucleic acid molecule (single-stranded DNA or RNA) with a strong affinity with a specific target. There are different types of detection technique of radioactive label. At least three basic applications of nucleic acid probes in medical research can be mentioned: (1) Detection of pathogenic microorganisms (2) Detection of changes to nucleic acid sequences (3) Detection of tandem repeat sequences.



HUMAN JOURNALS

www.ijppr.humanjournals.com

1. INTRODUCTION

DNA probe is a nucleic acid molecule (single-stranded DNA or RNA) with a strong affinity with a specific target. Probe and target base sequences must be complementary to each other, but depending on conditions, they do not necessarily have to be exactly complementary. The hybrid (probe–target combination) can be revealed when appropriate labelling and detection systems are used. Gene probes are used in various blotting and in situ techniques for the detection of nucleic acid sequences. In medicine, they can help in the identification of microorganisms and the diagnosis of infectious, inherited, and other diseases.

2. Probe Design

The probe design depends on whether a gene probe or an oligonucleotide probe is desired.

2.1. Gene Probes

Gene probes are generally longer than 500 bases and comprise all or most of a target gene. They can be generated in two ways. Cloned probes are normally used when a specific clone is available or when the DNA sequence is unknown and must be cloned first in order to be mapped and sequenced. It is usual to cut the gene with restriction enzymes and excise it from an agarose gel, although if the vector has no homology, this might not be necessary. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a powerful procedure for making gene probes because it is possible to amplify and label, at the same time, long stretches of DNA using chromosomal or plasmid DNA as template and labelled nucleotides included in the extension step. Having the whole sequence of a gene, which can easily be obtained from databases (GenBank, EMBL, DDBJ), primers can be designed to amplify the whole gene or gene fragments. A considerable amount of time can be saved when the gene of interest is PCR amplified, for there is no need for restriction enzyme digestion, electrophoresis, and elution of DNA fragments from vectors. However, if the PCR amplification gives nonspecific bands, it is recommended to gel purify the specific band that will be used as a probe. Gene probes generally provide greater specificity than oligonucleotides because of their longer sequence and because more detectable groups per probe molecule can be incorporated into them than into oligonucleotide probes. (1)

2.2. Oligonucleotide Probes

Oligonucleotide probes are generally targeted to specific sequences within genes. The most common oligonucleotide probes contain 18–30 bases, but current synthesizers allow efficient synthesis of probes containing at least 100 bases. An oligonucleotide probe can match perfectly 14 Aquino de Muro its target sequence and is sufficiently long to allow the use of hybridization conditions that will prevent the hybridization to other closely related sequences, making it possible to identify and detect DNA with slight differences in sequence within a highly conserved gene, for example.

- The probe length should be between 18 and 50 bases. Longer probes will result in longer hybridization times and low synthesis yields, shorter probes will lack specificity.
- The base composition should be 40–60% G-C. Nonspecific hybridization may increase for GC ratios outside of this range.
- Be certain that no complementary regions within the probe are present. These may result in the formation of “hairpin” structures that will inhibit hybridisation to target.
- Avoid sequences containing long stretches (more than four) of a single base.

3.1.3 Labelling and Detection

Types of Label

3.1.1. Radioactive Labels

Nucleic acid probes can be labelled using radioactive isotopes (e.g., ^{32}P , ^{35}S , ^{125}I , ^3H). Detection is by autoradiography or Geiger–Muller counters. Radiolabelled DNA probes used to be the most common type but are less popular today because of safety considerations as well as cost and disposal of radioactive waste products. However, radiolabeled probes are the most sensitive, as they provide the highest degree of resolution currently available in hybridization assays (1,2). High sensitivity means that low concentrations of a probe target hybrid can be detected; for example, ^{32}P -labeled probes can detect single-copy genes in only 0.5 μg of DNA and Keller and Manak (1) list a few reasons:

- **^{32}P has the highest specific activity.**
- **^{32}P emits β -particles of high energy.**
- **^{32}P -Labeled nucleotides do not inhibit the activity of DNA-modifying enzymes, because the structure is essentially identical to that of the nonradioactive counterpart.**

3.1.2. Nonradioactive Labels

Compared to radioactive labels, the use of nonradioactive labels have several advantages:

- **Safety.**
- **Higher stability of probe.**
- **Efficiency of the labeling reaction.**
- **Detection in situ.**
- **Less time taken to detect the signal.**

Some examples are as follows:

- **Biotin:** This label can be detected using avidin or streptavidin which have high affinities for biotin. Because the reporter enzyme is not conjugated directly to the probe but is linked to it through a bridge (e.g., streptavidin–biotin), this type of nonradioactive detection is known as an indirect system. Usually, biotinylated probes work very well, but because biotin (vitaminH) is a ubiquitous constituent of mammalian tissues and because biotinylated probes tend to stick to certain types of Nylon membrane, high levels of background can occur during hybridizations. These difficulties can be avoided by using nucleotide derivatives, including digoxin 1-UTP, -11-dUTP, and -11-ddUTP, and biotin-11-dUTP or biotin-14-dATP.(1,2)
- **Enzymes.** The enzyme is attached to the probe and its presence usually detected by reaction with a substrate that changes color. Examples of enzymes used include alkaline phosphatase and horseradish peroxidase (HRP). In the presence of peroxide and peroxidase, a chromogenic substrate for HRP, forms a purple insoluble product. HRP also catalyzes the oxidation of luminol, a chemiluminogenic substrate for HRP (2,3).
- **Chemiluminescence.** In this method, chemiluminescent chemicals attached to the probe are detected by their light emission using a luminometer. Chemiluminescent probes (including the above enzyme

labels) can be easily stripped from membranes, allowing the membranes to be reprobbed many times without significant loss of resolution.

- Fluorescence chemicals attached to probe fluoresce under ultraviolet (UV) light. This type of label is especially useful for the direct examination of microbiological or cytological specimens under the microscope—a technique known as fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). Hugenholtz et al. have some useful considerations on probe design for FISH (4).
- Antibodies. An antigenic group is coupled to the probe and its presence detected using specific antibodies. Also, monoclonal antibodies have been developed that will recognize DNA–RNA hybrids. The antibodies themselves have to be labelled using an enzyme, for example, DIG system. It is the most comprehensive, convenient, and effective system for labeling and detection of DNA, RNA, and oligonucleotides. Digoxigenin (DIG).

Radioactive labels:-

32P	35S	131I	3H	125I
------------	------------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Nonradioactive labels

Biotin Chemiluminescent enzyme labels (acridinium ester, alkaline phosphatase, β -D-galactosidase, horseradish peroxidase [HRP], isoluminol, xanthine oxidase) Fluorescence chemicals (fluorochromes) Antibodies Digoxigenin system body–alkaline phosphatase conjugate is allowed to bind to the hybridized DIG-labeled probe. The signal is then detected with colorimetric or chemiluminescent alkaline phosphatase substrates. If a colorimetric substrate is used, the signal develops directly on the membrane. The signal is detected on an X-ray film (as with ^{32}P - or ^{35}S -labeled probes) when a chemiluminescent substrate is used. Roche Biochemicals has a series of kits for DIG labeling and detection, as well as comprehensive detailed guides (5,6) with protocols for single-copy gene detection of human genome on Southern blots, detection of unique mRNA species on Northern blots, colony and plaque screening, slot/dot blots, and in situ hybridization. The one area in which nonradioactive probes have a clear advantage is in situ hybridization. When the probe is detected by fluorescence or color reaction, the signal is at the exact location of the annealed probe, whereas radioactive probes can only be visualized as silver grains in a photographic emulsion some distance away from the actual annealed probe (7).

3.2. Labeling Methods

The majority of radioactive labeling procedures rely upon enzymatic incorporation of a nucleotide labeled into the DNA, RNA, or oligonucleotide.

3.2.1. Nick Translation

Nick translation is one method of labeling DNA, which uses the enzymes pancreatic Dnase I and Escherichia coli DNA polymerase I. The nick translation reaction results from the process by which E. coli DNA polymerase I adds nucleotides to the 3'-OH created by the nicking activity of Dnase I, while the 5' to 3' exonuclease activity simultaneously removes nucleotides from the 5' side of the nick. If labeled precursor nucleotides are present in the reaction, the preexisting nucleotides are replaced with labeled nucleotides. For radioactive labeling of DNA, the precursor nucleotide is an [α -³²P]dNTP. For nonradioactive labeling procedures, a digoxigenin or a biotin moiety attached to a dNTP analog is used (2).

3.2.2. Random-Primed Labeling (or Primer Extension)

Gene probes, cloned or PCR-amplified, and oligonucleotide probes can be random-primed labeled with radioactive isotopes and nonradioactive labels (e.g., DIG). Random-primed labelling of DNA fragments (double- or single-stranded DNA) was developed by Feinberg and Volgestein (8,9) as an alternative to nick translation to produce uniformly labelled probes.

Probe Design, Production, Applications 17 Double-stranded DNA is denatured and annealed with random oligonucleotide primers (6-mers). The oligonucleotides serve as primers for the 5' to 3' polymerase (the Klenow fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase I), which synthesizes labeled probes in the presence of a labelled nucleotide precursor.

3.2.3. DIG-PCR Labeling

A very robust method for labeling a gene probe with DIG uses PCR. The probe is PCR amplified using the appropriate set of primers and thermocycling parameters, however, the dNTP mixture has less dTTP because the labeled DIG-dUTP will also be added to the reaction. (Similarly, when this method is used with [α -³²P]dCTP, the dNTP mixture will not have dCTP.) The advantage of PCR-DIG labeling, over random-primed DIG labeling, is the incorporation Fig. 1. Steps involved in the following: (A) random-primed DIG labeling:

Double-stranded DNA is denatured and annealed with random oligonucleotide primers (6-mers); the oligonucleotides serve as primers for the 5' to 3' Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I, which synthesizes labeled probes in the presence of DIG-dUTP. **(B)** PCR-DIG labelling DIG-Dutp is incorporated during PCR cycles into the DNA strands amplified from the DNA target. The asterisk represents the digoxigenin molecule incorporated along the DNA strands. 18 Aquino de Muro of a higher number of DIG moieties along the amplified DNA strands during the PCR cycles. It is worth noting that the random incorporation of large molecules of DIG-dUTP along the DNA strands during the PCR cycles makes the amplified fragment run slower on an agarose gel. A control PCR reaction, without DIG-dUTP, should also be prepared at the same time to verify whether the size of the amplified fragment with incorporated DIG (labeled probe) corresponds to the desired gene fragment.

3.2.4. Photobiotin Labeling

Photobiotin labeling is a chemical reaction, not an enzymatic one. Biotin and DIG can be linked to a nitrophenyl azido group that is converted by irradiation with UV or strong visible light to a highly reactive nitrene that can form stable covalent linkages to DNA and RNA **(2)**. The materials for photobiotin labeling are more stable than the enzymes needed in nick translation or oligonucleotide labeling and are less expensive, and it is a method of choice when large quantities of probe but not very high sensitivities **(3,13)**.

3.2.5. End Labelling

End labelling of DNA probes for hybridization is mainly used to label oligonucleotide probes. Three methods for labelling as oligonucleotides for with digoxigenin:

- The 3'-end labelling of an oligonucleotide 14–100 nucleotides in length with 1 residue of DIG- 11-ddUTP per molecule
- The 3' tailing reaction, where terminal transferase adds a mixture of un-labelled nucleotides and DIG-11-dUTP, producing a tail containing multiple digoxigenin residues
- The 5' end labelling n a two-step synthesis with first an amino acid linker residue on the 5' end of the oligonucleotide, and then after purification, a digoxigenin-N-hydroxy-succinimide ester is covalently linked to the free 5'-amino residue. Oligonucleotides can also be labelled with radioisotopes by transferring the γ -³²P from [γ -³²P] ATP to the 5' end using the enzyme

bacteriophage T4 polynucleotide kinase. If the reaction is carried out efficiently, the specific activity of such probes can be as high as the specific activity of [γ - ^{32}P] ATP itself (2). Promega has a detailed guide (15) with protocols on radioactive and nonradioactive labelling of DNA. The choice of probe labelling method will depend on the following:

- Target format: Southern, Northern, slot/dot, or colony blot.
- Type of probe: gene or oligonucleotide probe
- Sensitivity required for detection: single-copy gene or detection of PCR-amplified DNA fragments For example, 3'- and 5'-end labelling of oligonucleotides give good results on slot and colony hybridization in contrast with poor sensitivity when using Southern blotting.

4. Target Format

4.1. Solid Support

A convenient format for the hybridization of DNA to gene probes or oligonucleotide probes is immobilization of the target nucleic acid (DNA or RNA) onto a solid support while the probe is free in solution. The solid support can be a nitrocellulose or Nylon membrane, Latex or magnetic beads, or microtiter plates. Nitrocellulose membranes are very commonly used and produce low background signals; however, they can only be used when colorimetric detection will be performed and no probe stripping and reprobing is planned. For these purposes, positively charged Nylon membranes are recommended, and they also ensure an optimal signal-to noise ratio when the DIG system is used. Although nitrocellulose membranes are able to bind large quantities of DNA, they become brittle and gradually release DNA during the hybridization step. Activated cellulose membranes, on the other hand, are more difficult to prepare, but they can be reused many times because the DNA is irreversibly bound (2).

4.2. In Solution

Both the probe and the target are in solution. Because both are free to move, the chances of Reaction are maximized and, therefore, this format generally gives faster results than others.

4.3. In Situ

In this format, the probe solution is added to fixed tissues, sections, or smears, which are

Then usually examined under the microscope (see Chapter 29). The probe label (e.g., a fluorescent marker) produces a visible change in the specimen if the target sequence is present and hybridization has occurred. However, the sensitivity might be low if the amount of target nucleic acid present in the specimen is low. This can be used for the gene mapping of chromosomes and for the detection of microorganisms in specimens.

5. Hybridization Conditions

Many methods are available to hybridize probes in solution to DNA or RNA immobilized on nitrocellulose membranes (see Chapter 4). These methods can differ in the solvent and temperature used, the volume of solvent and the length of time of hybridisation, the method of agitation, when required, and the concentration of the labelled probe and its specificity, the stringency of the washes after the hybridization. However, basically, target nucleic acid immobilized on membranes by the Southern blot, Northern blot, slot or dot blot, or colony blot procedures are hybridized in the same way. The membranes are first prehybridized with hybridization buffer minus the probe. Nonspecific DNA binding sites on the membrane are saturated with carrier DNA and synthetic polymers. The prehybridization buffer is replaced with the hybridization buffer containing the probe and incubated to allow hybridization of the labelled probe to the target nucleic acid. The optimum hybridization temperature is experimentally determined, starting with temperatures 5°C below the melting temperature (T_m). The T_m is defined as the temperature corresponding to the midpoint in transition from helix to random coil and depends on length, nucleotide composition and ionic strength for long stretches of nucleic acids. G-C pairs are more stable than A-T pairs because G and C form three H bonds as opposed to two between A and T. Therefore, double-stranded DNA rich in G and C has a higher T_m (more energy required to separate the strands) than A-T rich DNA. For oligonucleotide probes bound to immobilized DNA, the dissociation temperature, T_d , is concentration dependent. Stahl and Amman (17) discussed in detail the empirical formulas used to estimate T_m and T_d . Following the hybridization, the unhybridized probe is removed by a series of washes. The stringency of the washes must be adjusted for the specific probe used. Low-stringency washing conditions (higher salt and lower temperature) increases sensitivity; however, these conditions can give nonspecific hybridization signals and high background. High-stringency washing conditions (lower salt and higher temperature, closer to the hybridization temperature) can reduce background and only the specific signal will remain. The hybridization signal and

background can also be affected by probe length, purity, concentration, sequence, and target contamination (1). In aqueous solution, RNA–RNA hybrids are more stable than RNA–DNA hybrids, which are, in turn, more stable than DNA–DNA ones. This results in a difference in T_m of approx 10°C between RNA-RNA and DNA-DNA hybrids. Consequently, more stringent conditions should be used with RNA probes (8).

6. Applications in Medical Research

At least three basic applications of nucleic acid probes in medical research can be mentioned:

(1) Detection of pathogenic microorganisms, (2) detection of changes to nucleic acid Sequences, and (3) detection of tandem repeat sequences.

Examples of the Applications of Nucleic Acid Probes in Medical Research

Detection of tumor suppressor genes in human bladder tumors 21

Identification of Leishmania parasites 22

Detection of malignant plasma cells of patients with multiple myeloma 23

Diagnosis of human papillomavirus 24

Visual gene diagnosis of HBV and HCV 25

Detection and identification of pathogenic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* 26

Detection of *Vibrio cholerae* 27–29

Molecular analysis of tetracycline resistance in *Salmonella enterica* 30

Identification of fimbrial adhesins in necrotoxicogenic *E. coli* 31

Epidemiological analysis of *Campylobacter jejuni* infections 32

Molecular analysis of NSP4 gene from human rotavirus strains 33

Physical mapping of human parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi* 34

Detection and identification of African trypanosomes 35

Changes related to neurological diseases (Alzheimer's, Huntington's) **36**

Detection and identification of pathogenic *Candida* spp. **37,38**

Identification of *Mycobacterium* spp. **39**

Detection of rifampin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* **40**

Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* directly from blood cultures **41**

Detection of rabies virus genome in brain tissues from mice **42**

Probe Design, Production, Applications 21

6.1. Detection of Pathogenic Microorganisms

The application of nucleic acid probes has particularly been evident in microbial ecology, where probes can be used to detect unculturable microorganisms and pathogens in the environment or simply provide rapid identification of species and group levels. Through the development of DNA–DNA and RNA–DNA hybridization procedures and recombinant DNA methodology, the isolation of species-specific gene sequences is readily achieved (18,19). Oligonucleotide hybridization probes complementing either small ribosomal subunits, large ribosomal subunits, or internal transcribed spacer regions have now been developed for a wide variety of microorganisms (20), such as *Actinomyces*, *Bacteriodes*, *Borrelia*, *Clostridium*, *Campylobacter*, *Candida*, *Haemophilus*, *Helicobacter*, *Lactococcus*.

6.2. Detection of Changes to Nucleic Acid Sequences

A change to the DNA sequence is a mutation, which could involve deletion, insertion, or substitution. Changes in certain gene sequences can cause inherited diseases such as cystic fibrosis, muscular dystrophies, phenylketonuria, apolipoprotein variants, and sickle cell anemia, and they can be diagnosed by probe detection. Nucleic acid probes have successfully been used to detect those mutations.

6.3. Detection of Tandem Repeat Sequences

Tandem repeat sequences are usually 30–50 bp in length. Their size and distribution are distinctive for an individual. They can be detected using nucleic acid probes and PCR. They

are the basis of so-called “DNA fingerprinting,” which can be used in forensic science to confirm the identity of a suspect from specimens (any body fluid, skin, and hair) left at the scene of a crime. This technique can also be used for paternity tests, sibling confirmation, and tissue typing.

REFERENCES

1. Keller, G. H. and Manak, M. M. (1989) DNA Probes, Stockton, New York.
2. Sambrook, J. and Russell, D. W. (2001) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 3rd ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
3. Karcher, S. J. (1995) Molecular Biology: A Project Approach, Academic, San Diego, CA.
4. Hugenholtz, P., Tyson, G. W., and Blackall, L. L. Design and evaluation of 16S rRNA targeted oligonucleotide probes for fluorescence in situ hybridization, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, Humana, Totowa, NJ, 2002 ;29–42.
5. Boehringer Mannheim GmbH (1995) The DIG System User’s Guide for Filter Hybridisation, Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany.
6. Boehringer Mannheim GmbH (1996) Nonradioactive In Situ Hybridisation Manual: Application Manual, 2nd ed. Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany.
7. Alphey, L. and Parry, H. D. Making nucleic acid probes, in DNA cloning 1: Core Techniques (Glover, D. M. and Hames, B. D., eds.), IRL, Oxford, 1995;121–141.
8. Feinberg, A. P. and Vogelstein, B. A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. *Analy. Biochem.*, **132**, 6–13.
9. Feinberg, A. P. and Vogelstein, B. *Analy. Biochem.* 1984; **137**, 266–267.
10. Aquino de Muro, M. and Priest, F. G. A colony hybridization procedure for the identification of mosquitocidal strains of *Bacillus sphaericus* on isolation plates. *J. Invertebr* ; **63**, 310–313.
11. Aquino de Muro, M. and Priest, F. G. Construction of chromosomal integrants of *Bacillus sphaericus* 2362 by conjugation with *Escherichia coli*. *Res. Microbiol.* 2000; 47–55.
12. Garratt, L. C., McCabe, M. S., Power, J. B., and Davey, M. R. () Detection of single-copy genes in DNA from transgenic plants, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, R., eds.), Humana, Totowa, NJ, 2002; 211–222.
13. Hilario, E. Photobiotin labeling, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, R., eds.), Humana, Totowa, NJ, 2002; 19–22.
14. Hilario, E. (2002) End labeling procedures, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, R., eds.), Humana, Totowa, NJ, pp. 13–18.
15. Promega Corp. (1996) Protocols and Applications Guide, 3rd ed., Promega Corp., Madison, WI.
16. Schleicher & Schuell, Inc. (1995) Blotting, Hybridization and Detection: An S&S Laboratory Manual, 6th ed., Schleicher & Schuell, Inc., Keene, NH.
17. Stahl, D. A. and Amman, R. Development and application of nucleic acid probes, in Nucleic Acid Techniques in Bacterial Systematics (Stackebrandt, E. and Goodfellow, M., eds.), Wiley, Chichester, 1991; 205–244.
18. Brooker, J. D. Lockington, R. A. Attwood, G. T., and Miller, S. The use of gene and antibody probes in identification and enumeration of rumen bacterial species, in Gene Probes for Bacteria (Macario, A. J. L. and Conway de Macario, E., eds.), Academic, San Diego, CA, 1990 390–416.
19. Stahl, D. A. and Kane, M. D. Methods in microbial identification, tracking and monitoring of function. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 1992; 244–252.
20. Ward, D. M., Bateson, M. M., Weller, R., and Ruff-Roberts, A. L. Ribosomal RNA analysis of microorganism they occur in nature. *Adv. Microb. Ecol.* 1992; 219–286.
21. Orlow, I. and Cordon-Cardo, C. Evaluation of alterations in the tumor suppressor genes INK4A and INK4B in human bladder tumors, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, R., eds.), Humana, Totowa, NJ, 2002; 43–59.

22. Mendoza-Leon, A., Luis, L., and Martinez, C. () The β -tubulin gene region as a molecular marker to distinguish Leishmania parasites, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, R., eds.), Humana, Totowa, NJ, 2002;61-83.
23. Brown, R. D. and Joy Ho, P. () Detection of malignant plasma cells in the bone marrow and peripheral blood of patients with multiple myeloma, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, R., eds.), Humana, Totowa, NJ 2002 ; 85–91.
24. Nuovo, G J. () Diagnosis of human papillomavirus using in situ hybridization and in situ polymerase chain reaction, in Gene Probes: Principles and Protocols (Aquino de Muro, M. and Rapley, R., eds.), Humana, Totowa, NJ 2002; 113–136.
25. Wang, Y., Pang, D., Zhang, Z., Zheng, H., Cao, J., and Shen J. Visual gene diagnosis of HBV and HCV based on nanoparticle probe amplification and silver staining enhancement. J. Med. Virol. 2003;**70(2)**, 205–211.
26. Cook, D. W., Bowers, J. C., and DePaola, A. Density of total and pathogenic (tdh+) Vibrio parahaemolyticus in Atlantic and Gulf Coast molluscan shellfish at harvest. J. Food Protect. 2002;**65** ;1873–1880.
27. Dalsgaard, A., Serichantalergs, O., Forslund, A., et al. Clinical and environmental isolates of Vibrio cholerae serogroup O141 carry the CTX phage and the genes encoding the toxin-coregulated pili. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2001; **39(11)**, 4086–4092.
28. Kondo, S., Kongmuang, U., Kalnauwakul, S., Matsumoto, C., Chen, C. H., and Nishibuchi, M. (2001) Molecular epidemiologic analysis of Vibrio cholerae O1 isolated during the 1997-8 cholera epidemic in southern Thailand. Epidemiol. Infect. **127(1)**, 7–16.
29. Nair, G. B., Bag, P. K., Shimada, T., et al. (1995) Evaluation of DNA probes for specific detection of Vibrio cholerae O139 Bengal. J. Clin. Microbiol. **33(8)**, 2186–2187.
30. Frech, G. and Schwarz, S. (2000) Molecular analysis of tetracycline resistance in Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovars Typhimurium, Enteritidis, Dublin Choleraesuis, Hadar and Saintpaul: construction and application of specific gene probes. J. Appl. Microbiol. **89(4)**, 633–641.
31. Mainil, J. G., Gerardin, J., and Jacquemin, E. (2000) Identification of the F17 fimbrial subunit- and adhesin-encoding (f17A and f17G) gene variants in necrotogenic Escherichia coli from cattle, pigs and humans. Vet. Microbiol. **73(4)**, 327–335.
32. Fujimoto, S., Umene, K., Saito, M., Horikawa, K., and Blaser, M. J. (2000) Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis using random chromosomal gene probes for epidemiological analysis of Campylobacter jejuni infections. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38(4)**, 1664–1667. Probe Design, Production, Applications 23
33. Kirkwood, C. D., Gentsch, J. R., and Glass, R. I. (1999) Sequence analysis of the NSP4 gene from human immunodominant antigens. Genome Res. **9(12)**, 1268–1276. rotavirus strains isolated in the United States. Virus Genes **19(2)**, 113–122.
34. Santos, M. R. M., Lorenzi, H., Porcile, P., et al. (1999) Physical mapping of a 670-kb region of chromosomes XVI and XVII from the human protozoan parasite Trypanosoma cruzi encompassing the gene.
35. Radwanska, M., Magez, S., Perry-O'Keefe, H., et al. (2002) Direct detection and identification of African trypanosomes by fluorescence in situ hybridization with peptide nucleic acid probes. J. Clin. Microbiol. **40(11)**, 4295–4297.
36. Higgins, G. A. and Mah, V. H. (1989) In situ hybridisation approaches to human neurological disease, in Gene Probes (Conn, P. M., ed.), Academic, San Diego, CA, pp. 183–196.
37. Rigby, S., Procop, G. W., Haase, G., et al. (2002) Fluorescence in situ hybridization with peptide nucleic acid probes for rapid identification of Candida albicans directly from blood culture bottles. J. Clin. Microbiol. **40(6)**, 2182–2186.
38. Oliveira, K., Haase, G., Kurtzman, C., Hyldig-Nielsen, J. J., and Stender, H. (2001) Differentiation of Candida albicans and Candida dubliniensis by fluorescent in situ hybridization with peptide nucleic acid probes. J. Clin. Microbiol. **39(11)**, 4138–4141.
39. Cloud, J. L., Neal, H., Rosenberry, R., et al. (2002) Identification of Mycobacterium spp. by using a commercial 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing kit and additional sequencing libraries. J. Clin. Microbiol. **40(2)**, 400–406.

40. El Hajj, H. H., Marras, S. A. E., Tyagi, S., Kramer, F. R., and Alland, D. (2001) Detection of rifampin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in a single tube with molecular beacons. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **39(11)**, 4131–4137.
41. Oliveira, K., Procop, G. W., Wilson, D., Coull, J., and Stender, H. (2002) Rapid identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* directly from blood cultures by fluorescence in situ hybridization with peptide nucleic acid probes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **40(1)**, 247–251.
42. Reddy, C. C., Jayakumar, R., Kumanan, K., and Nainar, A. M. (2002) Detection of rabies virus genome in brain tissues by using in situ hybridization. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* **72(1)**, 3–5. <http://www.springer.com/978-1-58829-288-289>

