



IJPPR

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
An official Publication of Human Journals

ISSN 2349-7203



Human Journals

Research Article

December 2020 Vol.:20, Issue:1

© All rights are reserved by Zuleyxa Nazim Mikailova et al.

Continuous Improvement of the Quality of Neonatal Care Based on “Supportive Supervision” Principle



IJPPR
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
An official Publication of Human Journals



ISSN 2349-7203

**Zuleyxa Nazim Mikailova*, Lala Arsalan Rzayeva,
G.C.Aliyeva, Elena Evgenyevna Smirnova, Dinara
Tofik Dadashova, Sevinc Rafiq Gulamova, Gunel
Esed Xalilova, Besti Tofik Ejderova**

*Scientific Research Institute of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, Baku, Azerbaijan.*

Submitted: 12 November 2020
Revised: 02 December 2020
Accepted: 22 December 2020



HUMAN JOURNALS

www.ijppr.humanjournals.com

Keywords: perinatal; neonatal; intensive care; supportive supervision; maternal hospital; clinical researches

ABSTRACT

Maternal mortality and morbidity depend on many factors, including the quality of birth care and neonatal care services. Quality indicators should be there to measure the quality of any service. The project aims to test and implement supportive supervision principles at the ten maternity hospitals (within the city of Baku). Helping to Identify and to solve current problems in the quality of medical services, as well as guiding the Ministry of Healthcare to implement supportive supervision in the healthcare system, are also primary goals. It focuses on deficiencies, errors, and audits individuals, not the process. As a result of supportive supervision, the staff participates in problem-solving, perceives a supervisor better, does not hesitate to identify problems, and actively gets involved in solving them. The result of the research shows that supportive supervision has a positive impact on improving the quality of services. Supportive supervision should be applied regularly, not on seasonal occasions. External, as well as internal audits, require the supportive supervision initiative. The results of such inspections should be available to a broader audience to create a competitive environment among institutions. Supportive supervision also helps to identify both biased and objective (non-facility related) factors that negatively affect the quality of services. Discussing objective factors at an intersectoral level, and finding solutions to problems is necessary to improve the quality of services.

INTRODUCTION

Mother and child health is at the core of the public health system's focus. Maternal mortality and morbidity depend on many factors, including the quality of birth care and neonatal care services [1-4]. Quality indicators should be there to measure the quality of any service. An appropriate monitoring and evaluation system is essential to improve these indicators or to keep their condition at its best. In recent years, the supportive supervision approach to improving medical services has been successfully applied in various parts of the world [1, 3, 4, 5]. Under the ACQUIRE project, the COPE approach (*by Engender Health*) is adopted to implement the supportive supervision at the selected medical facilities of a few districts in Azerbaijan.

The Ministry of Healthcare decided to test the supportive supervisory approach at the ten facilities in Baku. Integrating the current quality assurance system of medical services to the supportive supervisory principles would improve the quality of services related to birth care neonatal care services.

The Public Health and Reforms Center was appointed to run the project with the technical support of the State Institute of Obstetrics and Gynecology (IOG) and with the financial support of UNICEF. The project aims to test and implement supportive supervision principles at the ten maternity hospitals (within the city of Baku). Helping to Identify and to solve current problems in the quality of medical services, as well as guiding the Ministry of Healthcare to implement supportive supervision in the healthcare system, are also primary goals.

Supportive supervision reflects the attitude and position. It is also a process that encourages employees to improve their professionalism, to work better, and to provide quality services. Audit control is usually shallow and punitive. It focuses on deficiencies, errors, and audits individuals, not the process. Thus, it is based on the past instead of the future. In comparison, supportive supervision concentrates on solving problems of staff by pushing and supporting the quality improvement process. It focuses on the process rather than individuals.

As a result of supportive supervision, the staff participates in problem-solving, perceives a supervisor better, does not hesitate to identify problems, and actively gets involved in solving them. Employees are given opportunities to realize their potential.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

METHODS:

Three working groups have been formed to work with institutions. Each group includes 1 Public Health and Reform Center (PHRC) and 2 Republic Maternity and Gynecology Institute (RMGI) (gynecologist and neonatologist) representatives. A 2-day training was organized for the working groups. Tools to implement the project were identified throughout the training program. A 1-day training program was conducted among managers and representatives of selected institutions to explain the goals, objectives, and approaches of the project. Table 1 shows the list of medical institutions involved in the research and the number of staff (according to each institution) who participated in the training program.

Table No. 1: Number of employees trained within the 12 months before the start of the project

Name of Institution	Number of employees trained in the last 12 months
Republican Perinatal Center	22
Clinical Medical Center	55
Maternity Hospital N2	84
Maternity Hospital N3	6
Maternity Hospital N5	62
Republican Clinical Hospital	28
United City Hospital N6	21
United City Hospital N26	2
Maternity Hospital N7	66

Factors that determine the quality of neonatal service are grouped under sections mentioned below:

- The initial intensive care for the newborn child
- Maternal care for healthy newborn
- Sustaining required thermal conditions
- Infection control

- Feeding an ill newborn
- Maternal care and treatment for an ill newborn

Visiting institutions started by meeting with managers. Managers were informed about the project and its working algorithm. In return, they briefed general information regarding their institutions. Coordinator and members of Continuous Improvement in the Quality of Services (CIQS) groups were identified according to the recommendation of managers. The groups were also informed about the project and its working algorithm.

Following approaches are used to determine the quality of services:

- Talking to employees
- Observations
- Reviewing medical documentation

Data obtained through employee interviews, observations and review of medical records is reported in unique forms. These forms reflect the quality of services that are based on WHO recommendations. The fill-out form consists of several sections, and each one presents several parameters for evaluation.

Values range from 0 to 3:

- 0 - It isn't implemented at all
- 1 - Significant improvement needed
- 2 - Little improvement
- 3 - Fully implemented

The average value in the specific form is noted.

The result of the evaluation is discussed with CIQS to identify current problems and their reasons. Two-three solutions, responsible employees, and deadlines are determined and recorded in the form of an action plan. CIQS has a copy of all the documents.

Statistics. The difference regarding quantitative indicators among groups was determined by the Mann Whitney U and Student t-test, and differentiating the presence frequency of quality

parameters among groups is identified by the Pearson X2 test. In all cases, if the difference among compared groups is $p < 0.05$, then the result is considered to be statistically accurate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The main parameters that reflect the quality of neonatal care and the evaluation results of these parameters in the participating medical facilities vary from one to another. The initial evaluation values of facilities regarding the initial prenatal resuscitation are 0.8 and 2.4, and the last evaluation values are between 1.0 and 3.0 in Tables 2 and 3.

Clinical Medical Center (CMC) and Republican Perinatal Center (RPC) N 2,5,6,7 achieved significant improvement in the results. As seen from Table 2, it is obvious to feel the great need of improving the results for Baku City Hospital (BCH) N26, Republican Clinical Hospital (RCH), and Maternity Hospital (MH) N3. After reviewing the results, organizing initial resuscitation for newborns and the training for the staff need to be advanced. Filling out medical papers is crucial in pursuing clinical research, analyzing & resolving errors, and developing the project. At the same time, in some cases, these documents would become helpful in protecting healthcare workers.

Table No. 2: Parameters of initial intensive care for neonatal newborn

Parameters	Never been applied	Significant improvement required	Cumulative (%)
The location of Intensive Care	0	9	9 (9.7)
Availability and working condition of the heater	9	9	18 (19.4)
Availability of visual data in the maternity room	0	13	13 (14.0)
Oxygen delivery to the intensive care unit	17	13	30 (32.3)
Availability and working condition of tools for auxiliary ventilation	4	16	20 (21.5)
Availability, placement and storage of other intensive care	2	26	28 (30.1)

facilities			
Medicine required for intensive care	6	4	10 (10.8)
Regularly inspection of intensive care tools	7	28	35 (37.6)
Organizing the initial intensive care for the newborn at the maternity room	6	37	43 (46.2)
Availability and fill out of documents required for the initial intensive care	31	10	41 (44.1)
Initial Intensive Care Training	24	29	53 (57.0)

Table No. 3: Initial intensive care for a newborn

Institution	Initial value	Final value
Maternity Hospital N1	1.2	1.8
Maternity Hospital N2	1.6	2.3
United City Hospital N26	1.0	1.3
Maternity Hospital N3	0.8	1.0
Maternity Hospital N5	1.5	3.0
United City Hospital N6	0.8	2.4
Maternity Hospital N7	1.8	2.8
Clinical Medical Center	1.8	2.6
Republican Clinical Hospital	1.2	1.8
Republican Perinatal Center	2.4	2.7

Initial values of results in healthy newborns are 0.9 and 2.3, and final values range between 1.7 and 3.0 (Table 4, Table 5). The dramatic improvement in this parameter was achieved by Maternity Hospitals N5, 6, 7. The main issues in this section are skin contact, early breast implantation, prevention of neonatal hemorrhagic disease, and training of mothers.

Table No. 4: Parameters of maternal care for healthy newborns

Parameters	Never been applied	Significant improvement required	Cumulative (%)
Routine absorption of mucus at birth	1	6	7 (7.5)
Skin to skin contact	3	40	43 (46.2)
Early initiation of breastfeeding	7	28	35 (37.6)
Prevention of blennorrhoea	4	7	11 (11.8)
Cleaning and caring for belly button	2	7	9 (9.7)
Initial medical check-up and the assessment of physical development	4	18	22 (23.7)
Keeping Mother and baby together after delivery	8	15	23 (24.7)
Prevention of hemorrhagic disease among newborn	18	31	49 (52.7)
Vaccination of newborns	0	2	2 (2.2)
Training for mothers	23	34	57 (61.3)

Table No. 5: Maternal Care for a healthy newborn

Institution	Initial value	Final value
Maternity Hospital N1	1.6	1.7
Maternity Hospital N2	2.1	2.3
United City Hospital N26	2.3	2.5
Maternity Hospital N3	0.9	1.9
Maternity Hospital N5	1.8	3.0
United City Hospital N6	1.5	2.5
Maternity Hospital N7	1.7	2.7
Clinical Medical Center	2.0	2.4
Republican Clinical Hospital	1.3	2.0
Republican Perinatal Center	2.2	2.5

As indicated in Tables 6 and 7, the initial evaluation results of the factors contributing to the thermal condition for the institutions are 0.1 and 2.4, and the final values are between 1.8 and

3.0. MH, CMC, RCH, RPC N1,5,6,7 were able to advance this department significantly. Additionally, there is a strong need for improvement in this index for MH N2 & 3 and BCH N26. Observing the thermal condition during the transfer of the infant and warming the child in hypothermia are primary issues in this section.

Table No. 6: Parameters to sustain the required thermal condition

Parameters	Never been applied	Significant improvement required	Cumulative (%)
Sustaining required thermal condition at maternity rooms	2	4	6 (6.5)
Sustaining required thermal condition at maternal and intensive therapy wards	4	6	10 (10.8)
Examining the body temperature of a newborn in the first 30 minutes at the maternity room and after 2 hours	6	19	25 (26.9)
Examining the body temperature of a newborn every day	3	13	16 (17.2)
Sustaining required temperature while relocating newborns	5	34	39 (41.9)
Free pattern for baby swaddling wrap	7	21	28 (30.1)
Warming baby with hypothermia	8	30	38 (40.9)

Table No. 7: Compliance with the required thermal condition

Institution	Initial value	Final value
Maternity Hospital N1	1.4	2.3
Maternity Hospital N2	2.3	1.8
United City Hospital N26	2.4	2.0
Maternity Hospital N3	0.1	2.0
Maternity Hospital N5	1.6	3.0
United City Hospital N6	0.8	2.7
Maternity Hospital N7	1.8	3.0
Clinical Medical Center	1.7	2.6
Republican Clinical Hospital	1.3	2.3
Republican Perinatal Center	1.8	2.7

Values regarding the results that control the infection are 0.5 and 2.2, and the final values range between 1.0 and 2.9 (Table 8 & 9). Maternal Hospital N5 & 7 achieved a significant upgrade in this index. Specifically, Maternal Hospital N3, BCH N 6, and RCH have weak infection control. Although BCH N 26, CMC, and RPM have achieved significant improvement, they should try to improve it even more. Most of the parameters in this section seem to require considerable progress. There is an urgent need to enhance hand-washing habits and infection control experience in addition to equipment-related issues.

Table No. 8: Infection control factors

Parameters	Never been applied	Significant improvement required	Cumulative (%)
Availability and working condition of washing bowls	0	13	13 (14.0)
Liquid soap	2	13	15 (16.1)
Hand sanitizer	9	24	33 (35.5)
Disposable towels	5	32	37 (39.8)
Handwashing habits	1	4	5 (5.4)
Availability of disposable items	3	44	47 (50.5)

Storage and usage of intensive care tools	2	34	36 (38.7)
Storage and usage of other medical equipment	2	28	30 (32.3)
Newborn and mother visitations by relatives	9	50	59 (63.4)
Hospital infection and its registration	8	18	26 (28.0)
Infection control practice	2	52	54 (58.1)

Table No. 9: Infection control

Institution	Initial value	Final value
Maternity Hospital N1	0.9	1.4
Maternity Hospital N2	2.2	1.7
United City Hospital N26	1.6	2.2
Maternity Hospital N3	0.5	1.0
Maternity Hospital N5	1.4	2.9
United City Hospital N6	0.8	1.7
Maternity Hospital N7	1.3	2.6
Clinical Medical Center	1.7	2.2
Republican Clinical Hospital	1.2	1.3
Republican Perinatal Center	2.1	2.4

The initial values of the results that define the ill newborn's feeding are 0.5 and 2.2, and the final values are between 1.0 and 3.0 (Table 10 & 11). MH and RPC N5 and 7 have serious progress under this parameter. Other facilities need to develop this index considerably. Although breastfeeding does not require substantial improvement, there are many problems related to it. In most cases, exclusive breastfeeding is disrupted, and breastfeeding in the initial phase is delayed. Lack of equipment, staff's knowledge, and capabilities are principal problems of parenteral feeding.

Table No. 10: Parameters of feeding for a newborn with illness

Parameters	Never been applied	Significant improvement required	Cumulative (%)
Breastfeeding and using breast milk	0	13	13 (14.0)
Alternative nutrition	0	31	31 (33.3)
The practice of feeding premature infants	9	37	46 (49.5)
The experience of parenteral nutrition of an ill infant	37	22	59 (63.4)
The experience of enteral nutrition for an ill infant	9	27	36 (38.7)
Availability of parenteral preparations and tools for parenteral nutrition	21	37	58 (62.4)
Defining and registering the baby's nutritional status	28	23	51 (54.8)
Determining neonatal glycemia	29	29	58 (62.4)

Table No. 11: Feeding a newborn infant with illness

Institution	Initial value	Final value
Maternity Hospital N1	1.1	1.4
Maternity Hospital N2	1.9	1.9
United City Hospital N26	1.9	1.6
Maternity Hospital N3	0.5	1.0
Maternity Hospital N5	1.5	3.0
United City Hospital N6	1.0	2.0
Maternity Hospital N7	1.4	2.5
Clinical Medical Center	1.0	2.0
Republican Clinical Hospital	1.0	1.2
Republican Perinatal Center	2.2	2.6

In Table 12 & 13, initial values of care and treatment for an ill newborn are between 0.3 and 2.0, and the final values are between 0.8 and 2.6. MH and RPC N5 & 7 have achieved

essential advancements in this department. Other facilities require significant improvement regarding this indicator. Most of the factors that shape this unit urge considerable development as well. Staff seriously needs to be trained for the basics of care to newborns. In addition to the treatment of brain injury, the use of blood components, antibacterial therapy, and adequate medication use should be enhanced. There is a need to increase the capabilities of observation and laboratory-instrumental examination of an ill child.

Table No. 12: Maternal care and treatment for newborn babies with illness

Parameters	Never been applied	Significant improvement required	Cumulative (%)
Prevention of infection in newborns	23	22	45 (48.4)
Nursing care for an ill newborn	8	47	55 (59.1)
Observing the sick child	36	38	74 (79.6)
Instrumental and laboratory observation capabilities	13	55	68 (73.1)
Proper use of medicines	14	36	50 (53.8)
Respiratory therapy	27	23	50 (53.8)
Antibacterial therapy	16	45	61 (65.6)
Relieving pain of a newborn	23	34	57 (61.3)
Treatment for brain injury	16	41	57 (61.3)
Treatment of jaundice in newborns	16	30	46 (49.5)
Using blood and its components	43	35	78 (83.9)
Transferring newborns from one medical facility to other one	43	3	46 (49.5)
Teaching basics of maternal care for newborns to staff	33	27	60 (64.5)

Table No. 13: Maternal care and treatment for newborn babies with illness

Institution	Initial value	Final value
Maternity Hospital N1	1.0	1.2
Maternity Hospital N2	1.8	1.7
United City Hospital N26	1.2	1.5
Maternity Hospital N3	0.3	0.8
Maternity Hospital N5	1.2	2.6
United City Hospital N6		
Maternity Hospital N7	1.2	2.3
Clinical Medical Center	1.3	2.0
Republican Clinical Hospital	2.0	1.2
Republican Perinatal Center	1.9	2.6

DISCUSSION

Executing continuous development of supportive supervision and neonatal care projects for 11 months proves that selected tools to identify problems and solutions are useful.

During the supportive supervision project:

The staff is only responsible for identifying problems. Members of the team from various levels participate in researching the quality of services and solving problems.

- A variety of sources enables us to obtain accurate information. The multi-parameter service quality indicators have been presented.
- Obligations for the team, their deadline, and designated people have been identified.
- In most cases, service quality has been improved.

At the same time, there are objective and subjective difficulties in troubleshooting as well: Managers and staff lack sufficient knowledge regarding the quality indicators.

- Transferring modern knowledge and skills within the institution is also weak.
- In most cases, employees don't approach certain factors in a standard way. Personal stereotypes have an enormous impact.

- It is hard to resolve the issues related to stereotypes. To overcome such problems, we need a continuous internal and external audit as well as repetitive training for staff.
- In other cases, managers' instructions either detract employees from providing modernized services or don't encourage staff to provide such services.
- In many cases, clinical protocols, requirements of proven medicine, and the orders of the Healthcare Ministry are not followed in daily practices.
- Often, employee duties aren't clear. Managers do not regularly evaluate Their work. Employee incentives and penalties are imperfect.

Although enough employees have attended training a year before the project, they still lack the knowledge and skills needed to address the existing shortcomings. The current improvement system is not related to the existing problems of the faculty. According to interviews with faculty managers, the electricity and water supply are good enough. Some faculties have a satisfying heating system. Others supply it from the general system; therefore, heating shortages occur at a specified time. The problem requires an immediate solution.

The Ministry of Health has considerably improved the supply of equipment, drugs, and supplies in recent years. But there is also a need to improve the supply of other materials, medicines, and supplies. Some institutions don't have acceptable laboratory services. After interviewing the postpartum woman and other individuals, we revealed that one of the reasons for lower early initiation of breastfeeding is poor skin contact. Another crucial reason is a false or incomplete guide regarding breastfeeding. Although breastfeeding does not need great improvement, there are many issues related to this matter. Exclusive breastfeeding is a problem, and mothers should be well informed. It is often disrupted, and breastfeeding is delayed. There are essential obstacles with parenteral feeding, both in the equipment and in the knowledge and skills of staff. There is an imminent need for educating staff about the basics of care for newborns. The capabilities of observation and laboratory-instrumental examination of an ill newborn requires enhancement, specifically in the treatment of brain damage, the use of blood components, antibacterial therapy, and adequate use of drugs[6-10].

Managers should make more efforts to solve existing problems and improve the quality of services. They are also responsible for finding alternative ways to develop employees. At the

same time, internal audit should be strengthened. Without any external help, some parameters (e.g., hand washing) can be improved in the short term. Steady progress in the quality of services should be a motivating and exciting factor for faculty managers and the staff [10, 11]. Therefore, institutions and employees should be directly interested in improving quality. To sustain this, observation and evaluation systems of the Ministry of Healthcare and Baku General Healthcare Department must make substantial changes. Motivating initiatives are crucial for institutions and their staff with satisfying quality improvement. Along with financial support, transparency (availability of results to a broader audience) would also be an essential mechanism [12-14].

CONCLUSION.

Thus, the result of the research shows that supportive supervision has a positive impact on improving the quality of services. Supportive supervision should be applied regularly, not on seasonal occasions. External, as well as internal audits, require the supportive supervision initiative. The results of such inspections should be available to a broader audience to create a competitive environment among institutions. Supportive supervision also helps to identify both biased and objective (non-facility related) factors that negatively affect the quality of services. Discussing objective factors at an intersectoral level, and finding solutions to problems is necessary to improve the quality of services.

REFERENCES

1. World Bank, World Health Organization, United States Agency for International Development. Guide to producing national health accounts - with special applications for low-income and middle-income countries. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2003 (http://www.who.int/nha/docs/Eng-lish_PG.pdf, accessed 3 November 2011).
2. Guide to producing reproductive health subaccounts within the national health accounts framework. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2009 (http://www.who.int/nha/docs/guide_to_producing_rh_subaccounts_final.pdf, accessed 2 November 2011)
3. Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield L World Health Organization Technical consultation on postpartum and postnatal care. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010, [unpublished document produced by Making Pregnancy Safer Department].E, de Onis M, Ezzati M, Mathers C, Rivera J, for the Maternal and Child Undernutrition Study Group. Maternal and child under-nutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *Lancet*, 2008, 371:243–60.25.
4. World Health Organization Technical consultation on postpartum and postnatal care. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010, [unpublished document produced by Making Pregnancy Safer Department].
5. McCall EM, Alderdice FA, Halliday HL, Jenkins JG, Vohra S. Interventions to prevent hypothermia at birth in preterm and/or low birthweight babies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD004210. 10.1002/14651858.CD004210.pub2 [PubMed]
6. Bauer K, Uhrig C, Sperling P, Pasel K, Wieland C, Versmold HT. Body temperatures and oxygen consumption during skin-to-skin (kangaroo) care in stable preterm infants weighing less than 1500 grams. *J Pediatr*. 1997;130(2):240–244. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(97)70349-4. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

7. Baley J & Committee on fetus and newborn. Skin-to-skin care for term and preterm infants in the neonatal ICU. *Pediatrics* 2015; 136(3): 596-599. [PubMed]
8. E.Hitt, P. Murata New Guidelines for Pediatric and Neonatal Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Medscape*, 2006 (5).
9. Ban K. *The Global Strategy for Women's and Children's Health*. New York, NY, USA: United Nations; 2010.
10. Conde-Agudelo A, Belizan JM, Lammers C. Maternal-perinatal morbidity and mortality associated with adolescent pregnancy in Latin America: Cross-sectional study. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2004. 192:342–349.
11. Cousens S, Blencowe H, Stanton C, Chou D, Ahmed S, Steinhardt L, Creanga AA, Tunçalp O, Balsara ZP, Gupta S, Say L, Lawn JE. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2009 with trends since 1995: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*, 2011, Apr 16;377(9774):1319-30.
12. Patton GC, Coffey C, Sawyer SM, Viner RM, Haller DM, Bose K, Vos T, Ferguson J, Mathers CD. Global patterns of mortality in young people: a systematic analysis of population health data. *Lancet*, 2009. 374:881–892.
13. Say L et al. *Global Causes of Maternal Death: A WHO Systematic Analysis*. *Lancet*, 2014.
14. UNICEF, WHO, The World Bank, United Nations Population Division. *The Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME). Levels and Trends in Child Mortality. Report 2013*. New York, USA: UNICEF; 2013.

