International Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Research An official Publication of Human Journals



Human Journals **Review Article** February 2024 Vol.:30, Issue:2 © All rights are reserved by K. Vinod Kumar Reddy et al.

Advancements in Analytical Instrumentation: A **Comprehensive Review of Food Analysis**

AT to

HUMAN

harmaceutical Research



Gaddam Janardhana¹, K. Vinod Kumar Reddy^{1*}, S. Shakir Basha¹, Sunkara Sivananda Swaroop², Jeethuri rajesh²

1Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (RIPER)-Autonomous, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India-515721.

2Department of Pharmacology, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (RIPER)-Autonomous, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India-515721

Submitted:	19 January 2024
Accepted:	24 January 2024
Published:	29 February 2024





ijppr.humanjournals.com

Keywords: Analytical equipment, food items, pH Meter, beverage Evaluation, Nutrition, Fiber Analysis

ABSTRACT

The article delves into the latest progress in analytical instrumentation applied to food analysis, highlighting the significance of these advancements in ensuring the safety, quality, and nutritional integrity of food products. Various cutting-edge techniques, including mass spectrometry, chromatography, and spectroscopy, are explored in the context of their applications in elucidating complex molecular compositions, identifying contaminants, and assessing the stability of food components. The review underscores the pivotal role of these analytical tools in shaping modern approaches to food analysis, offering a comprehensive understanding of the intricate molecular dynamics within food matrices. As the field continues to evolve, this article aims to provide a valuable resource for researchers, industry professionals, and policymakers seeking to stay abreast of the latest developments in analytical instrumentation for food analysis.

INTRODUCTION:

Food represents a complex and diverse mixture containing various chemical components, including moisture, carbohydrates, proteins, fibers, vitamins, and more. Processed foods further incorporate an extensive range of additives and contaminants.[1] Analyzing the composition of products is crucial for ensuring product quality,[2] enforcing regulatory standards, verifying compliance with national and international food regulations, adhering to specifications and nutrient labeling requirements, and ensuring the quality of the product when used as a supplement for other foods.[3] Among food additives, preservatives play a significant role, primarily employed to prevent microbial growth, preserve or enhance nutritional value, maintain palatability, and wholesomeness, and improve flavor and color.[5] Additional food additives encompass colors, color modifiers, flavors, flavor enhancers, humectants, non-nutritive sweeteners, pH control agents, thickeners, stabilizers, and emulsifiers.[6] To ensure consumer safety and prevent deceptive practices, most countries regulate and specify food additives by law.[7] Labeling regulations mandate the provision of information on food type, processing, and contained additives.[8] Therefore, there is a necessity for analytical techniques to identify and quantify additives.[9] Packaged food labels, offering data and information, aid consumers in making informed choices.[10] The intricate and heterogeneous nature of foods necessitates effective separation techniques, such as High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC),[11] known for its diverse column materials and detectors.[12] As food additives are typically present in small quantities in processed items, [13] their separation from food constituents requires a comprehensive understanding of the chemistry and physics of both the food components and additives, facilitating the selection of optimal analytical procedures.[14] Increased automation has gained widespread acceptance for the efficient separation and analysis of nearly all food components and additives.[15]

INSTRUMENTS EMPLOYED IN FOOD ANALYSIS:

1) Bench Top ph Meter Overview:

A benchtop pH meter is an electronic device employed for measuring the acidity and alkalinity of liquid or semi-solid samples, finding applications across various industries such as wastewater management, drinking water analysis, food, and beverage processing, as well as chemical and pharmaceutical testing. [18,19,20]

Typically, benchtop pH meters comprise a measuring electrode, a reference electrode, and a meter. The measuring electrode, sensitive to hydrogen ions (H+), gauges pH as the sample surrounds the glass bulb at its end. The resultant small voltage output correlates with the concentration of H+ ions in the sample, and the meter displays this value as pH units. These meters come in various models offering different measurement modes, including pH, mV, ion, and conductivity.

The pH is defined as "the negative logarithm of the molar hydronium-ion concentration," and its formula is expressed as $pH = -\log [H3O+]$. Alternatively, the pH formula can be represented as $pH = -\log [H+]$.

1.1. Advantages of Benchtop pH Meter:

Efficiency and Simplicity: The measurement of pH is a rapid and straightforward process using a benchtop pH meter.

Precision and Accuracy: Benchtop pH meters provide accurate results, ensuring a precise pH value for the samples under examination.

Versatility: These meters find application in various fields, offering flexibility for different types of analyses.

Wide pH Range Coverage: Benchtop pH meters cover both acidic and alkaline ranges of pH, spanning from pH 01 to 14.[23]

Calibration Convenience: Users can easily calibrate the pH meter using standard buffer solutions, including pH 07, pH 04, and pH 09.20.

Portability: The pH meter is portable, enhancing its usability in different locations and settings.

Accuracy Over Color Indicators: In comparison to reading color strips or pH indicators, a pH meter delivers highly accurate results.[24]

Battery-Powered Convenience: A small, battery-powered meter offers a practical choice for specific locations, ensuring ease of use.[25]

1.2. Drawbacks of Benchtop pH Meter:

Electrode Membrane Deposits: Process interruptions due to deposits on the electrode membrane.

Frequent Calibration Requirement: Regular calibration for sustained accuracy.

Special Buffer Solution: Calibration necessitates a specific buffer solution.

Temperature and CO2 Influence: Calibration may be influenced by temperature and carbon dioxide absorption.

Fragility of Glass Electrodes: Risk of breakage due to fragile glass electrodes.

2) Beverage Testing Equipment / Beverage Analysis Overview:

Beverage testing equipment, dedicated to beverage analysis, encompasses instruments designed to qualitatively and/or quantitatively discern the composition of beverages. Widely employed in the beverage industry for both developmental purposes and quality control, beverage analysis ensures the correct presence of electrolytes, additives, and alcohols in designated proportions, and the absence of contaminants, such as melamine in milk products.



Fig 1. Beverage Testing Equipment

In the contemporary era, global concerns about food and beverage safety have become paramount, particularly in the realms of food hygiene and quality.[34] As food is an essential daily requirement for energy, development, and health, it is imperative to guarantee the supply of safe and qualified food products to consumers. However, the reality presents challenges for consumers in selecting legitimate food products due to issues such as poor food quality, inadequate hygiene, food adulteration, impurities, expired products, and others.

Beverage analysis can be conducted using various methods: [36, 37]

2.1. Chromatography: This method involves running the liquid through a column, where components filter at different rates, generating a chromatogram for subsequent analysis.

2.2. Mass Spectrometry: In this technique, the liquid is vaporized, and the ions in the gas produce a spectrum that is subject to analysis.[38]

2.3. Advantages of food testing and analysis:

Food testing and analysis offer significant advantages for food manufacturers, contributing to traceability within their industry. Additionally, it ensures the safety of food products by verifying their freedom from contaminants, residues, and bacteria. This process also enables the accurate provision of nutritional information to consumers, fostering transparency and confidence in the quality of the products. [39,40]

3) Dietary Fiber Analyzer: [41, 42, 43]

The measurement of dietary fiber content in a sample is conducted within a laboratory setting using an enzymatic-gravimetric method. Post-defatting, a food sample undergoes treatment with enzymes designed to replicate the digestive process occurring in the human small intestine. Dietary fiber, also known as roughage, constitutes the segment of plant-derived food that resists complete breakdown by human digestive enzymes. The chemical composition of dietary fibers is diverse, allowing for general categorization based on solubility, viscosity, and ferment ability factors influencing the processing of fibers within the body. The two primary components of dietary fiber are soluble fiber and insoluble fiber, present in plant-based foods like legumes, whole grains, cereals, vegetables, fruits, nuts, or seeds. Diets enriched with regular fiber intake are commonly linked to the promotion of health and the reduction of various disease risks. Dietary fiber encompasses non-starch polysaccharides and other plant components such as cellulose, resistant starch, resistant dextrins, insulin, lignins, chitins (found in fungi), pectins, beta-glucans, and oligosaccharides.[45]



Fig. 2: Dietary Fiber Analyzer

4) Electron Spin Resonance Spectrometer: [46, 47, 48, 49]

Electron Spin Resonance (ESR) spectroscopy serves as a powerful technique for studying the properties of unpaired electrons within paramagnetic species. This method offers insights into the electronic structure, chemical environment, and dynamics of various entities, such as free radicals, transition metal ions, and other paramagnetic species. ESR spectroscopy relies on the absorption of microwave radiation by paramagnetic substances containing unpaired electrons when subjected to a robust magnetic field.

Key Points and Working Principles: [50, 51, 52]

4.1. Electron Behaviour: ESR spectroscopy allows the study and measurement of microwave energy absorption by unpaired electrons, providing information about electron behavior in the investigated sample.

4.2.Energy Level Splitting: The presence of unpaired electrons in a substance causes the electronic energy levels to split into different levels when placed in a magnetic field, resulting in magnetic resonance absorption.

4.3. Microwave Radiation: Utilizing a static magnetic field and microwaves, an ESR instrument observes the behaviour of unpaired electrons in the material under study. The microwave frequency used falls within the range of 10⁴ to 10⁶ MHz.

4.4. Resonance Absorption: In ESR, the static magnetic field induces a difference in energy between electron spins with ms = +1/2 and ms = -1/2. This energy difference corresponds to the resonance absorption of applied microwave energy.

4.5. Working of ESR Spectroscopy: [53, 54, 55, 56]

The working principle involves the interaction of paramagnetic species with a static magnetic field and microwave radiation:

• **Sample Preparation:** The sample, potentially containing paramagnetic species like free radicals or transition metal complexes, is prepared, often in powder or solution form.

• **Magnetic Field Application:** A static magnetic field is generated using powerful magnets, causing the energy levels associated with the electron spins of paramagnetic species to split.

• **Microwave Irradiation:** Specific-frequency microwaves (typically 10⁴ to 10⁶ MHz) are applied, inducing transitions between the split energy levels of paramagnetic species.

• **Resonance Condition:** Varying the magnetic field strength while keeping the microwave frequency constant, resonance occurs at a specific magnetic field strength where the energy difference matches the microwave energy.

• Absorption of Microwave Energy: Resonance leads to the absorption of energy from microwaves by paramagnetic species, resulting in a decrease in microwave power passing through the sample.

• **Signal Detection:** The decrease in microwave power is detected and recorded by the ESR instrument.

• **Data Analysis:** The recorded ESR spectrum, representing microwave energy absorption at different magnetic field strengths, is analyzed. Parameters such as the g-value (related to the electronic environment) and line width (reflecting electron mobility and interactions) offer insights into the electronic structure, coordination environment, and dynamics of the studied sample.

4.6. Advantages of ESR Spectroscopy: [57, 58]

• **Study of Paramagnetic Species:** Tailored for investigating free radicals, enabling the characterization of free radicals, transition metal complexes, and other reactive intermediates.

• **Sensitivity:** Highly sensitive, capable of detecting small concentrations of paramagnetic species, making it suitable for studying low-concentration samples.

• **Non-Destructive Technique:** Non-destructive, eliminating the need for extensive sample preparation, enabling the examination of samples without altering their properties.

• **Information-Rich:** Provides valuable information about the electronic structure, coordination environment, and dynamics of paramagnetic species, enhancing the understanding of their chemical and physical properties.

• Applications of ESR Spectroscopy: [59, 60, 61]

• ESR spectroscopy finds diverse applications in the study of free radicals and structural determination:

4.7. Structural Determination: [62]

In certain cases, provides insights into the shape and structural characteristics of radicals. Electron Spin Resonance (ESR) spectroscopy, also known as Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, emerges as a powerful tool in the realm of food analysis. This technique is particularly instrumental in the investigation of free radicals and paramagnetic species, offering insights into the oxidative stability of food components. ESR spectroscopy enables the direct observation of unpaired electrons, providing valuable information about the presence and concentration of radical species generated during food processing, storage, or irradiation.

By studying the electron environments within food matrices, researchers can assess the impact of various factors on food quality, such as lipid oxidation, enzymatic reactions, and the efficacy of antioxidant compounds.

The non-destructive nature and sensitivity of ESR spectroscopy make it a versatile and valuable analytical tool in elucidating the intricate molecular dynamics within food systems, contributing significantly to the understanding and enhancement of food quality and safety.

Name of instrument	Example Food product analysed	parameter
1. Benchtop pH Meter	Food(sauce)and beverage (soft drinks)	acidity and alkalinity of liquid or semi-solid samples
2. Beverage Testing Equipment / Beverage Analysis	Beverages	presence of electrolytes, additives, alcohols
3. Dietary Fiber Analyzer / Fiber Analysis	Plant-derived food products	solubility, viscosity, and fermentability
4. Electron Spin Resonance Spectrometer	Olive oil oxide stability	Presence of free radical
5. Gas Chromatography Equipment	Edible Oils	degradation

 Table 1: Summary of analytical instruments employed in food analysis

5) Gas Chromatography Equipment [64, 65]:

A Gas Chromatograph (GC) is a sophisticated analytical instrument designed to measure the content of various components within a given sample, and the analytical process it employs is termed gas chromatography.

5.1. Principle of Gas Chromatography:

The sample solution is injected into the instrument, traverses a gas stream (commonly helium or nitrogen, the carrier gas), and enters a separation tube or "column." Within the column, the components of the sample undergo separation. The detector then measures the quantity of components as they exit the column. For analyzing samples with unknown concentrations, a standard sample of known concentration is injected, and the retention time and area of its peak are compared with the test sample to calculate concentration.



FIG.3: A simplified diagram of a gas chromatograph

 Table 2: Advantages and Disadvantages:

Advantages of GC	Disadvantages of GC		
High efficiency allows rapid separation of	Limited to thermally stable and volatile		
complex mixtures.	compounds.		
Accurate quantitation with sharp,	Most GC detectors are destructive, except		
reproducible peaks.	for Mass Spectrometry (MS).		
Mature technique with extensive application			
notes available			
Multiple detectors with high sensitivity			
(ppb) are available.			

5.2. Gas Chromatography Applications:

Since its discovery, the applications of Gas Chromatography have continually expanded across various fields, including:

• Applications of GC in food analysis:

- 1. Edible Oils
- 2. Flavors, Beverages, and the Food Industry

6) Forced Degradation Studies of Proteins and LC-MS [66, 67]

Protein degradation studies in food analysis play a pivotal role in ensuring the safety, quality, and nutritional value of food products. Understanding the changes in protein structure and composition over time or under various processing conditions is essential for assessing shelf life, identifying potential allergens, and optimizing food formulations. Various analytical techniques, such as liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) are employed to investigate protein degradation pathways and monitor the formation of degradation products. These studies contribute valuable insights into the impact of storage conditions, thermal processing, and other factors on the stability of proteins in different food matrices. The knowledge gained from protein degradation studies not only aids in preventing undesirable changes in food quality but also facilitates the development of innovative preservation strategies and the formulation of food products with enhanced nutritional profiles. From following forced degradation studies at various conditions Proteins exhibited degradation less than 20%, meeting the stability-indicating method criteria.

Table	3: 9	Summarv	of Forced	Degradation	Studies	of Proteins
						01 1 0 000110

Stressor	% Degradation of Proteins
Acid	Nill
Base/Alkaline	1.31
Oxidative	Nill
Photolytic	0.575
Thermal	0.256







[Fig 5: Chromatogram of alkaline degradation solution]





Citation: K. Vinod Kumar Reddy et al. Ijppr.Human, 2024; Vol. 30 (2): 53-68.



[Fig 8: Chromatogram of thermal degradation solution]

7) Food Technology/Food Analysis Equipment [68, 69]:



Fig 9: Various Food Analysis Equipment

Food technology equipment seamlessly integrates food science into the intricate processes of food processing, packaging, distribution, and the comprehensive analysis of ingredient

components. This equipment is extensively employed by the agricultural industry and food manufacturers to ensure product safety and maintain rigorous quality control, playing a pivotal role in the dynamic landscape of the food industry.

The array of food analysis equipment encompasses diverse functionalities, including gas chromatography/mass spectrometry for detecting pesticide presence in produce, analyzers facilitating the cultivation and quantification of bacterial colonies in food samples, instruments determining fat, oil, or protein content, and those conducting flavour analysis.

In the realm of food processing and manufacturing, instrumental analysis of foods stands as a vital step due to the complex interactions of various compounds during storage and processing. While traditional methods persist, contemporary analysis predominantly relies on a variety of sophisticated instruments.

Food and beverage analysis systems represent efficient, cost-effective, and robust solutions that offer precise analysis of components based on specific physiochemical interactions. These systems serve as guarantors of food and beverage quality and safety, playing essential roles in sample preparation and the innovation of new products.

These analysis systems are rooted in various analytical techniques, categorized based on their underlying principles:

•Biological Analytical Techniques: Operate on the polymerase chain reaction principle.

•Electrochemical or Immunological Techniques: Leverage biosensors for analysis.

•Spectroscopic Analytical Techniques: Utilize nuclear magnetic resonance or mass spectrometry.

•Separation Techniques: Employ supercritical fluid chromatography, high-performance liquid chromatography, or gas chromatography.

This diverse spectrum of food analysis equipment not only ensures compliance with stringent quality standards but also contributes to the ongoing advancements and reliability within the food industry.

REFERENCES:

1. Dimara, E., & Skuras, D. (2003). Consumer evaluations of product certification, geographic association, and traceability in Greece. *European Journal of Marketing, 37*(5/6), 690–705.

2. Downey, G., McIntyre, P., & Davies, A. N. (2003). Geographical classification of extra virgin olive oils from the Eastern Mediterranean by chemometric analysis of visible and near-infrared spectroscopic data. *Applied Spectroscopy, 57*(2), 158–163.

3. Dupuy, N., Le Dre'au, Y., Ollivier, D., Artaud, J., Pinatel, C., & Kister, J. (2005). Origin of French virgin olive oil registered designation of origins predicted by chemometric analysis of synchronous excitation– emission fluorescence spectra. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 53*, 9361–9368.

4. Eiceman, G. A., Hill, H. H., Jr., & Gardea-Torresdey, J. (1998). Gas chromatography. *Analytical Chemistry, 70*, 321R–339R.

5. Etiève, P., Schlich, P., Cantagrel, R., Bertrand, M., & Bouvier, J.-C. (2006). Varietal and geographic classification of French red wines in terms of major acids. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 46*(4), 421–438.

6. Georgi, M., Voerkelius, S., Rossmann, A., Grassmann, J., & Schnitzler, W. H. (2005). Multielement isotope ratios of vegetables from integrated and organic production. *Plant and Soil, 275*, 93–100.

7. De La Fuente, M. A., & Juárez, M. (2005). Authenticity assessment of dairy products. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 45*, 563–585.

8. Brenna, J. T., Corso, T. N., Tobias, H. J., & Caimi, R. J. (1997). High-precision continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Mass spectrometry reviews, 16*(5), 227–258.

9. Martin, G. J., Mazure, M., Jouitteau, C., Martin, Y.-L., Aguile, L., & Allain, P. (1999). Characterization of the geographic origin of Bordeaux wines by a combined use of isotopic and trace element measurements. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 50*(4), 409–417.

10. Lachenmeier, D. W. (2007). Assessing the authenticity of absinthe using sensory evaluation and HPTLC analysis of the bitter principle absinthin. *Food Research International, 40*, 167–175.

11. Rossmann, A., Haberhauer, G., Hözl, S., Horn, P., Pichlmayer, F., & Voerkelius, S. (2000). The potential of multielement stable isotope analysis for regional origin assignment of butter. *European Food Research and Technology, 211*(1), 32–40.

12. Peng, Y., Liu, F., & Ye, J. (2006). Quantitative and qualitative analysis of flavonoid markers in Frucusaurantii of different geographical origins by capillary electrophoresis with electrochemical detection. *Journal of Chromatography B, 830*, 224–230.

13. Picque, D., Cattenoz, T., Corrieu, G., & Berger, J. L. (2005). Discrimination of red wines according to their geographical origin and vintage year by the use of mid-infrared spectroscopy. *Sciences des Aliments, 25*, 207–220.

14. Pillonel, L., Badertscher, R., Froidevaux, P., Haberhauer, G., Hözl, S., Horn, P., et al. (2003). Stable isotope ratios, major, trace, and radioactive elements in Emmental cheeses of different origins. *Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft-Und-Technologies- Food Science and Technology, 36*, 615–623.

15. Serra, F., Guillou, C. G., Reniero, F., Ballarin, L., Cantagallo, M. I., Wieser, M., et al. (2005). Determination of the geographical origin of green coffee by principal component analysis of carbon, nitrogen and boron stable isotope ratios. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 19*, 2111–2115.

16. Reid, L., O'Donnell, C. P., & Downey, G. (2006). Recent technological advances for the determination of food authenticity. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 17*, 344–353.

17. Stefanoudaki, E., Kotsifaki, F., & Koutsaftakis, A. (2000). Sensory and chemical profiles of three European olive varieties (Oleaeuropea L); an approach for the characterization and authentication of the extracted oils. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 80*, 381–389.

18. Tapp, H. S., Defernez, M., & Kemsley, E. K. (2003). FTIR spectroscopy and multivariate analysis can distinguish the geographical origin of extra virgin olive oils. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 51*, 6110–6115.

19. Tomás-Barberán, F. A., Ferreres, F., García-Viguera, C., & Tomás-Lorente, F. (1993). Flavonoids in honey of different geographical origins. *Zeitschriftfu[¬]rLebensmittel- Untersuchung und –Forschung, 196*, 38–44.

20. Vallejo-Cordoba, B., González-Co´rdova, A., Mazorra-Manzano, M., & Rodrı´guez-Ramı´rez, R. (2005). Capillary electrophoresis for the analysis of meat authenticity. *Journal of Separation Science, 28*, 826–836.

Wang, L. C., Cao, Y. H., Xing, X. P., & Ye, J. N. (2005). Fingerprint studies of Radix Scutellariae by capillary electrophoresis and high-performance liquid chromatography. *Chromatographia, 62*(5/6), 283–288.
 Aebersold, R., & Mann, M. (2003). Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nature, 422*, 198–207.

23. Aprea, E., Carlin, S., Versini, G., Ma¨rk, T.D., & Gasperi, F. (2007). Assessing truffle origin by PTR-MS fingerprinting and comparison with GC-MS for the headspace analysis.

24. In A. Hansel & T.D. Ma"rk (Eds.), *3rd International conference on proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry and its applications* (pp. 132–135). Austria.

25. Delamont, S. (1995). *Appetites and identities: An introduction to the social anthropology of Western Europe*. London: Routledge.

26. Delgado, C., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., Talou, T., & Gaset, A. (1994). Capillary electrophoresis as an alternative to HPLC for determination of honey flavonoids. *Chromatographia, 38*(1/2), 71–78.

27. Grob, R. L. (2004). In R. L. Grob& E. F. Barry (Eds.), *Modern practice of gas chromatography*. John Wiley.

28. Luykx, D. M. A. M., Casteleijn, M. G., Jiskoot, W., Westdijk, J., & Jongen, P. M. J. M. (2004). Physicochemical studies on the stability of influenza haemagglutinin in vaccine bulk material. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 23*, 65–75.

29. Ritzer, G. (1996). *The McDonaldization of society: An investigation into the changing character of contemporary social life*. CA: Pine Forge Press.

30. Meier-Augenstein, W. (2002). Stable isotope analysis of fatty acids by gas chromatography-isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *AnalyticaChimicaActa, 465*, 63–79.

31. Lachenmeier, D. W. (2007). Assessing the authenticity of absinthe using sensory evaluation and HPTLC analysis of the bitter principle absinthin. *Food Research International, 40*, 167–175.

32. Hamburg, M.A. Advancing regulatory science. *Science, 331*, 987.

33. Escarpa, A. Lights and shadows on food microfluidics. *Lab Chip, 14*, 3213-3224.

34. Jéquier, E.; Constant, F. Water as an essential nutrient: The physiological basis of hydration. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr, 64*, 115–123.

35. Sasaki, Y.F.; Kawaguchi, S.; Kamaya, A.; Ohshita, M.; Kabasawa, K.; Iwama, K.; Taniguchi, K.; Tsuda, S. The comet assay with 8 mouse organs: Results with 39 currently used food additives. *Mutat. Res. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen., 519*, 103–119.

36. International Agency for Research in Cancer (IARC). 2-(2-Furyl)-3-(5-nitro-2-fyryl)acrylamide (AF-2). In *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans: Some Food Additives, Feed Additives, and Naturally Occurring Substances*; World Health Organization: Lyon, France, 1983; Volume 31, p. 41.

37. Sajid, M.; Kawde, A.-N.; Daud, M. Designs, formats and applications of lateral flow assay: A literature review. *J. Saudi Chem. Soc., 19*, 689–705.

38. Posthuma-Trumpie, G.A.; Korf, J.; van Amerongen, A. Lateral flow (immuno)assay: Its strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats. A literature survey. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 393*, 569–582.

39. Whitesides, G.M. The origins and the future of microfluidics. *Nature, 442*, 368–373.

40. Manz, A.; Harrison, D.J.; Verpoorte, E.M.J.; Fettinger, J.C.; Paulus, A.; Lüdi, H.; Widmer, H.M. Planar chips technology for miniaturization and integration of separation techniques into monitoring systems. *J. Chromatogr. A, 593*, 253–258.

41. Ruano, J.M.; Benoit, V.; Aitchison, J.S.; Cooper, J.M. Flame hydrolysis deposition of glass on silicon for the integration of optical and microfluidic devices. *Anal. Chem., 72*, 1093–1097.

42. Queste, S.; Salut, R.; Clatot, S.; Rauch, J.-Y.; Khan Malek, C.G. Manufacture of microfluidic glass chips by deep plasma etching, femtosecond laser ablation, and anodic bonding. *Microsyst. Technol., 16*, 1485–1493.

43. Harris, N.R.; Hill, M.; Beeby, S.; Shen, Y.; White, N.M.; Hawkes, J.J.; Coakley, W.T. A silicon microfluidic ultrasonic separator. *Sens. Actuators B Chem., 95*, 425–434.

44. Sanjoh, A.; Tsukihara, T. Spatiotemporal protein crystal growth studies

45. McDonald, J.C. and Whitesides, G.M. Exploiting Poly(dimethylsiloxane) as a Material for Crafting Microfluidic Devices. In Accounts of Chemical Research, 2002, 35, 491–499.

46. Leclerc, E.; Sakai, Y.; Fujii, T. Application of Microfluidic PDMS (Polydimethylsiloxane) Bioreactor for Scalable Hepatocyte Culture. Biotechnology Progress, 2004, 20, 750–755.

47. Pelton, R. Utilizing Bioactive Paper for Affordable Diagnostic Platforms. Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 2009, 28, 925–942.

48. Martinez, A.W.; Phillips, S.T.; Butte, M.J.; Whitesides, G.M. Harnessing Patterned Paper for Cost-Effective, Portable Bioassays. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2007, 46, 1318–1320.

49. Mohammadi, S.; Maeki, M.; Mohammadi, R.M.; Ishida, A.; Tani, H.; Tokeshi, M. An Instrument-Free, Screen-Printed Paper Microfluidic Device Enabling Biochemical Sensing. Analyst, 2015, 140, 6493–6499.

50. Cate, D.M.; Adkins, J.A.; Mettakoonpitak, J.; Henry, C.S. Recent Advances in Paper-Based Microfluidic Devices. Analytical Chemistry, 2015, 87, 19–41.

51. Liu, W.; Kou, J.; Xing, H.; Li, B. Chromatographic Chemiluminescence Chip on Paper for Dichlorvos Detection in Vegetables. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 2014, 52, 76–81.

52. Liu, W.; Guo, Y.; Luo, J.; Kou, J.; Zheng, H.; Li, B.; Zhang, Z. Molecularly Imprinted Polymer-Based Labon-Paper Chemiluminescence Device for Dichlorvos Detection. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 141, 51–57.

53. Zhu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Yang, L. Design of Functional Paper-Based Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy Substrates for Colorant Detection. Materials Research Bulletin, 2015, 63, 199–204.

54. Nath, P.; Arun, R.K.; Chanda, N. Paper-Based Microfluidic Device for Arsenic Detection using a Gold Nanosensor. RSC Advances, 2014, 4, 59558–59561.

55. Nath, P.; Arun, R.K.; Chanda, N. Smart Gold Nanosensor for Convenient Detection of Lead and Copper Ions on Paper Strips. RSC Advances, 2015, 5, 69024–69031.

56. Ratnarathorn, N.; Chailapakul, O.; Henry, C.S.; Dungchai, W. Silver Nanoparticle Colorimetric Sensing for Copper on Paper-Based Devices. Talanta, 2012, 99, 552–557.

57. Hossain, S.M.Z.; Luckham, R.E.; Smith, A.M.; Lebert, J.M.; Davies, L.M.; Pelton, R.H.; Filipe, C.D.M.; Brennan, J.D. Bioactive Paper Sensor Development for Neurotoxin Detection via Piezoelectric Inkjet Printing of Sol-Gel-Derived Bioinks. Analytical Chemistry, 2009, 81, 5474–5483.

58. Hossain, S.M.Z.; Luckham, R.E.; McFadden, M.J.; Brennan, J.D. Reagentless Bidirectional Lateral Flow Bioactive Paper Sensors for Pesticide Detection in Beverages and Food Samples. Analytical Chemistry, 2009, 81, 9055–9064.

59. Li, M.; Cao, R.; Nilghaz, A.; Guan, L.; Zhang, X.; Shen, W. "Periodic-Table-Style" Paper Device for Monitoring Heavy Metals in Water. Analytical Chemistry, 2015, 87, 2555–2559.

60. Mani, V.; Kadimisetty, K.; Malla, S.; Joshi, A.A.; Rusling, J.F. Paper-Based Electrochemiluminescent Screening for Genotoxic Activity in the Environment. Environmental Science & Technology, 2013, 47, 1937–1944.

61. Ma, S.; Tang, Y.; Liu, J.; Wu, J. Visible Paper Chip Immunoassay for Rapid Determination of Bacteria in Water Distribution Systems. Talanta, 2014, 120, 135–140.

62. Hossain, S.M.Z.; Brennan, J.D. β-Galactosidase-Based Colorimetric Paper Sensor for Heavy Metal Determination. Analytical Chemistry, 2011, 83, 8772–8778.

63. Jokerst, J.C.; Adkins, J.A.; Bisha, B.; Mentele, M.M.; Goodridge, L.D.; Henry, C.S. Development of a Paper-Based Analytical Device for Colorimetric Detection of Foodborne Pathogens. Analytical Chemistry, 2012, 84, 2900–2907.

64. Jin, S.-Q.; Guo, S.-M.; Zuo, P.; Ye, B.-C. Z-Folding Controlled Liquid Handling Microfluidic Paper Analysis Device for Pathogen Detection via ATP Quantification. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 2014, 63, 379–383.

65. Sicard, C.; Glen, C.; Aubie, B.; Wallace, D.; Jahanshahi-Anbuhi, S.; Pennings, K.; Daigger, G.T.; Pelton, R.; Brennan, J.D.; Filipe, C.D.M. Water Quality Monitoring and Mapping Tools Using Paper-Based Sensors and Cell Phones. Water Research, 2015, 70, 360–369.

66. Adkins, J.A.; Henry, C.S. Electrochemical Detection in Paper-Based Analytical Devices Using Microwire Electrodes. Analytica Chimica Acta, 2015, 891, 247–254.

67. Chaiyo, S.; Siangproh, W.; Apilux, A.; Chailapakul, O. Highly Selective and Sensitive Paper-Based Colorimetric Sensor for Trace Determination of Copper Ions. Analytica Chemical Acta, 2015, 866, 75–83.

68. Zhang, Y.; Zuo, P.; Ye, B.-C. Low-Cost and Simple Paper-Based Microfluidic Device for Simultaneous Multiplex Determination of Different Types of Chemical Contaminants in Food. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 2015, 68, 14–19.

69. Nie, Z.; Deiss, F.; Liu, X.; Akbulut, O.; Whitesides, G.M. Integration of Paper-Based Microfluid