International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Research (IJPPR)
Volume 32, Issue 10, October 2026 ijppr.humanjournals.com ISSN: 2349-7203

Alternative Medicine in Healthcare: Evidence-Based Approaches and Challenges

Aaris*[1], Pramod Kumar Mishra[2], Sujeet Pratap Singh[3], Dr. Tarkeshwar Prasad Shukla[4]

*1 Student of Bachelor of Pharmacy, SCPM College of Pharmacy, Gonda 271003, Uttar Pradesh, India.
2 Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, SCPM College of Pharmacy, Gonda 271003, Uttar Pradesh, India.
3 Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, SCPM College of Pharmacy, Gonda 271003, Uttar Pradesh, India.
4 Principal and Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, SCPM College of Pharmacy, Gonda 271003, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Received: 11 August 2026 Revised: 31 August 2026 Accepted: 14 September 2026

ABSTRACT:

Alternative medicine has moved from the margins of healthcare into a position where most health systems now have to reckon with it in some form, whether through patient demand, hospital-based integrative programs, or the steady trickle of trial data that keeps appearing in the literature. This review looks at what it actually means to apply an evidence-based lens to a field this varied, from the hierarchy of evidence used to judge clinical claims, to the particular methodological problems that make trials of yoga or acupuncture harder to interpret than a standard drug trial. It then works through where alternative approaches currently fit into healthcare delivery, primary care, pain management, oncology support, mental health, and the special considerations that apply to children and older adults, before turning to safety, product quality, and the patchwork of regulation that governs these therapies across different countries. The review closes by considering what stands in the way of fuller, safer integration into mainstream care, and what would need to change, more rigorous trials, better product standardization, clearer communication between patients and clinicians, for alternative medicine to be judged on the same evidentiary terms as the rest of healthcare.

Keywords: alternative medicine; complementary medicine; evidence-based medicine; integrative healthcare; clinical trials; regulatory framework; patient safety

1. INTRODUCTION

Walk into almost any hospital system today and somewhere nearby, often not far from the oncology ward or the pain clinic, there is a reasonable chance you will find an integrative medicine service offering acupuncture, massage, or guided meditation. That would have been unusual thirty years ago. What changed was not that alternative medicine suddenly became more effective; what changed is that enough of it accumulated enough trial evidence, and enough patients kept using it regardless, that healthcare systems stopped being able to treat it as something happening entirely outside their walls.

"Evidence-based" is doing real work in the title of this review, because it is precisely the sticking point. Conventional medicine has spent decades building a hierarchy of evidence, systematic reviews at the top, expert opinion near the bottom, and holding new drugs to that standard before they reach a patient. Alternative medicine has not always been built the same way, and parts of it resist that framework for reasons that are partly historical and partly structural: how do you blind a patient to whether they are doing yoga, and does the absence of a plausible biological mechanism matter as much for a stress-reduction technique as it does for a pill.

This review works through that tension directly. It surveys the major CAM systems and modalities, examines what evidence-based standards actually look like when applied to them, walks through the clinical contexts where alternative approaches are currently used, and considers the safety, regulatory, and integration challenges that remain unresolved.

It is worth being honest about scope from the outset. "Alternative medicine" is not one thing; it covers practices with millennia of continuous use behind them alongside wellness products that appeared on store shelves within the last decade, and lumping them together under a single evidentiary standard does a disservice to both. A review that tries to be fair to the field has to hold two ideas at once: that dismissing an entire category because part of it is weak is as misleading as defending an entire category because part of it is strong, and that the right question is almost always "which specific therapy, for which specific condition, supported by which specific evidence," rather than a verdict on alternative medicine as a whole.

2. Overview of Alternative Medicine Systems and Modalities

2.1 Traditional and whole-system approaches

Ayurveda, Traditional Chinese Medicine, homeopathy, and Unani medicine each bring an entire theoretical framework, not just a set of remedies, built around concepts like doshas, qi, or humoral balance. These systems predate modern biomedicine by centuries and remain dominant forms of primary healthcare in parts of Asia and Africa, which is itself a reason health systems cannot simply ignore them.

2.2 Mind-body and biologically based practices

Yoga, meditation, tai chi, and breathing-based techniques sit in one cluster; herbal medicine, dietary supplements, and nutraceuticals sit in another. The first group tends to have the most robust trial evidence of any CAM category, partly because the interventions are relatively standardizable and partly because research funding for stress and mental health has grown substantially. The second group is the largest by consumer use but the hardest to study cleanly, given how much product composition varies between manufacturers.

2.3 Manipulative and energy-based therapies

Acupuncture, chiropractic care, osteopathic manipulation, and massage therapy involve physical intervention and have accumulated a meaningful clinical literature, acupuncture especially. Energy-based practices such as Reiki or therapeutic touch remain more controversial, since no instrument has been able to detect the energy field these practices claim to manipulate, and trial results tend to be weak or inconsistent.

2.4 Dietary and lifestyle-based interventions

A further category sits at the intersection of alternative medicine and general wellness: structured dietary programs, fasting protocols, detoxification regimens, and lifestyle coaching built around a particular traditional framework. Some of this overlaps substantially with mainstream nutritional science, caloric moderation and plant-forward eating patterns, for instance, show up in both Ayurvedic dietary guidance and conventional cardiology recommendations, while other elements, such as specific detoxification claims, have little support beyond the body's own, already well-functioning liver and kidneys.

2.5 Cultural context and traditional knowledge systems

It is easy, writing from a biomedical research perspective, to treat traditional systems purely as a source of candidate compounds waiting to be tested in a trial. That framing captures something real, several conventional drugs did originate from traditional plant knowledge, but it also misses what these systems mean to the communities that practice them: a continuous, often intergenerational body of knowledge tied to cultural identity, not simply a pre-scientific stage on the way to something better. Health systems operating in culturally diverse populations increasingly recognize that dismissing traditional practice outright can damage trust and reduce engagement with care generally, which is itself a reason, separate from any question of clinical efficacy, to approach the subject with a measure of humility.

3. Evidence-Based Approaches to Alternative Medicine

3.1 Applying the evidence hierarchy

The standard evidence hierarchy, systematic reviews and meta-analyses at the top, then randomized controlled trials, then cohort and observational studies, then case series and expert opinion, applies to CAM research in principle the same way it applies to pharmaceutical research. In practice, a much larger share of CAM evidence sits at the lower rungs, simply because fewer large, well-funded trials have been conducted. Table 1 illustrates how this hierarchy maps onto typical CAM research, along with the limitation most often attached to each level.

Table 1. Evidence hierarchy as applied to alternative medicine research

Evidence level	Typical CAM example	Key limitation
Systematic review / meta-analysis	Pooled trials of acupuncture for chronic pain	Underlying trials often vary widely in technique, dose, and comparator
Randomized controlled trial	Yoga vs. usual care for low back pain	Blinding is difficult or impossible for most physical and mind-body interventions
Cohort / observational study	Long-term outcomes of CAM users vs. non-users	Confounding by health-seeking behavior and socioeconomic status
Case series / expert opinion	Traditional use reports for a single herbal formula	No control group; cannot separate treatment effect from natural history

3.2 What systematic reviews have found

Cochrane and other systematic reviews have accumulated enough data on several CAM modalities to draw reasonably confident conclusions. Acupuncture for chronic pain, mindfulness-based interventions for anxiety and depression, and yoga for low back pain all have supportive systematic review evidence of moderate quality. Homeopathy, by contrast, has been reviewed just as thoroughly, and the conclusion reached just as consistently is that its effects do not exceed placebo.

3.3 Methodological challenges unique to CAM research

A few problems recur across CAM trials regardless of modality. Blinding is often impossible, a patient doing yoga knows they are doing yoga, which opens the door to placebo and expectation effects that are hard to separate from any genuine therapeutic benefit. Choosing an appropriate control condition is equally tricky: sham acupuncture, for instance, may not be truly inert, since inserting a needle anywhere can trigger some of the same physiological responses as the "real" intervention. Product standardization compounds the problem for herbal medicine, where two products carrying the same name may contain meaningfully different concentrations of active compounds.

3.4 Publication bias and research funding

CAM research carries its own particular funding geography. Large pharmaceutical companies, with deep pockets and a direct commercial stake in trial outcomes, fund most conventional drug trials; no comparable industry exists for yoga or acupuncture, where funding tends to come from smaller government grants, university budgets, or occasionally wellness-industry sponsors with their own commercial interests. This affects not just how much research gets done but what gets published: studies with positive findings are more likely to see print than null results, a bias that exists throughout medical research but is thought to be especially pronounced in smaller, less rigorously registered CAM trials, where pre-registration of outcomes and protocols has historically been less consistent than in pharmaceutical research.

4. Clinical Applications Across Healthcare Settings

4.1 Primary care and preventive health

In primary care, CAM use tends to center on lifestyle-oriented practices, dietary supplements, stress management techniques, and preventive wellness programs, often recommended by physicians themselves as a complement to standard risk-factor management for conditions like hypertension or prediabetes.

4.2 Pain management

Pain is where alternative medicine has found its most comfortable home within conventional healthcare, driven partly by the opioid crisis and the resulting push to find non-pharmacological alternatives for chronic pain. Acupuncture, yoga, and manual therapies now appear in clinical practice guidelines for back pain and osteoarthritis issued by mainstream medical bodies, not as a last resort but as a reasonable first-line option in many cases.

4.3 Oncology supportive care

Cancer centers have been particularly active in building integrative medicine programs, generally focused on symptom control, nausea, fatigue, pain, anxiety, rather than disease treatment itself. This supportive role is now well enough established that major

cancer organizations have published formal guidelines on which CAM therapies are reasonable to offer alongside chemotherapy and radiation.

4.4 Mental health and wellness

Mindfulness-based stress reduction and mindfulness-based cognitive therapy have moved furthest toward full integration, now taught as standard interventions in many psychology and psychiatry training programs rather than treated as alternative at all. Yoga and other movement-based practices continue to show benefit as adjuncts for anxiety and depressive symptoms.

4.5 Pediatric and geriatric considerations

Both ends of the age spectrum call for particular caution. Children metabolize herbal compounds differently than adults, and pediatric dosing data for most natural products simply does not exist in any reliable form. Older adults, frequently on multiple prescription medications already, face a higher baseline risk of herb-drug interactions, which makes careful medication reconciliation, including CAM products, especially important in geriatric care.

4.6 Chronic disease self-management

For patients managing long-term conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, or autoimmune disease, alternative medicine often functions less as a discrete treatment and more as part of a broader self-management strategy, something the patient does to feel a sense of agency over a condition that otherwise runs on its own schedule. Yoga, dietary modification, and stress-reduction practices fit naturally into this role, and there is reasonable evidence that patients who feel more engaged in their own care, through whatever combination of conventional and complementary tools, tend to report better quality of life even when objective disease markers move only modestly.

4.7 Overlap with rehabilitation and physical therapy

The boundary between "alternative" and "conventional" blurs further in rehabilitation medicine, where practices like tai chi, therapeutic yoga, and guided movement programs are increasingly prescribed by physical therapists themselves rather than sought out independently by patients. Fall-prevention programs for older adults, for example, now frequently incorporate tai chi based on trial evidence of improved balance and reduced fall risk, a case where a modality with origins entirely outside biomedicine has been absorbed into a mainstream clinical protocol on the strength of its own evidence, with little controversy attached.

5. Safety and Risk Considerations

5.1 Adverse effects and herb-drug interactions

Even "natural" products carry real pharmacological risk. St. John's Wort remains the most cited example, capable of inducing liver enzymes and undermining the effectiveness of oral contraceptives, anticoagulants, and several other drug classes, but it is far from the only one. Anticoagulant and antiplatelet herbs can raise bleeding risk when combined with prescribed blood thinners, a particularly dangerous combination that often goes undetected simply because the patient never mentions the supplement during a medication review.

5.2 Quality control and product variability

Manufacturing oversight for herbal and natural products falls well short of pharmaceutical standards in most countries. Independent laboratory testing has repeatedly found batch-to-batch inconsistency in active ingredient content, contamination with heavy metals, and, in some documented cases, undisclosed pharmaceutical adulterants mixed into products marketed as purely herbal.

5.3 Practitioner training and credentialing

Who gets to call themselves a CAM practitioner varies enormously by country, by modality, and sometimes by state or province within the same country. Acupuncture and chiropractic care tend to have relatively well-defined licensing requirements in most regulated markets, while herbal medicine consultation and many "wellness" practices often operate with minimal or no formal credentialing at all, which makes it harder for patients to judge who is qualified to advise them.

Table 2. Selected herb-supplement interactions with conventional medications

Herbal product	Conventional drug class affected	Nature of interaction
St. John's Wort	Oral contraceptives, anticoagulants, antidepressants	Induces liver enzymes, reducing drug blood levels and effectiveness
Ginkgo biloba	Anticoagulants, antiplatelet agents	Additive effect increases bleeding risk
Garlic supplements	Anticoagulants	Additive antiplatelet effect; may increase bleeding risk at high doses
Ginseng	Anticoagulants, diabetes medications	May reduce anticoagulant effect; can alter blood glucose control
Kava	Sedatives, benzodiazepines	Additive central nervous system depression; associated with liver toxicity

5.4 Pharmacovigilance and adverse event reporting

Reporting systems for CAM-related adverse events remain considerably weaker than those built around prescription drugs. Many countries lack a dedicated channel for reporting problems with herbal or traditional products, and even where one exists, patients and practitioners alike often do not think to use it, since the product was purchased without a prescription and may not register in anyone's mind as something requiring medical reporting. This under-reporting almost certainly means the true rate of CAM-related adverse events, interactions especially, is higher than official statistics suggest, a gap that several national pharmacovigilance agencies have flagged as a priority for improvement.

6. Regulatory and Policy Landscape

6.1 International regulatory diversity

The World Health Organization's traditional medicine strategy encourages member states to build national policies around quality control and evidence generation, but implementation varies widely. The United States regulates most herbal products as dietary supplements under DSHEA, a framework that does not require premarket efficacy review. The European Union's Traditional Herbal Medicinal Products Directive offers a simplified registration route tied to a documented history of traditional use. India's Ministry of AYUSH regulates Ayurveda, Yoga, Unani, Siddha, and Homeopathy as an integrated system, with its own pharmacopoeial standards and manufacturing licensing separate from conventional pharmaceutical regulation.

6.2 Insurance and reimbursement

Coverage for CAM therapies remains inconsistent even within a single country's insurance market. Acupuncture for chronic pain has gained reimbursement in a growing number of health plans, reflecting its stronger evidence base, while many herbal and nutritional supplements remain entirely out-of-pocket expenses regardless of the evidence behind them.

6.3 Professional licensing and scope of practice

Scope-of-practice rules determine what a CAM practitioner is legally permitted to diagnose, treat, or recommend, and these rules differ sharply by jurisdiction and by discipline. This patchwork creates real confusion for patients trying to judge whether a given practitioner is operating within an appropriately regulated scope or well outside it.

6.4 Cross-border and online sales

E-commerce has made the regulatory patchwork even harder to enforce. A consumer can order an herbal product manufactured in one country, regulated under a second country's standards, shipped from a third, and received in a fourth with an entirely different regulatory regime of its own, often without any of the four jurisdictions having meaningfully verified the product's contents. Customs and import controls catch only a fraction of this traffic, and online marketplaces have struggled to consistently enforce even their own stated policies on unverified health claims, leaving much of the burden of judgment on the individual consumer.

7. Integration into Mainstream Healthcare Systems

7.1 Integrative medicine centers

Hospital-based integrative medicine centers represent the most structured form of integration currently available, typically combining conventional specialists with CAM practitioners under shared clinical governance, referral protocols, and, increasingly, shared electronic health records that allow CAM use to be tracked alongside conventional treatment.

7.2 Provider education and communication

Most medical and nursing curricula still devote only limited time to CAM modalities, which leaves many conventional providers underprepared to discuss these therapies knowledgeably with patients, let alone screen for interactions. Expanding CAM literacy in professional training is widely recommended, though implementation has been slow and uneven across institutions.

7.3 Patient-centered decision-making

Shared decision-making models, in which patients and clinicians weigh the evidence, risks, and patient preferences together, are increasingly proposed as the right framework for CAM use, rather than a flat "approved" or "not approved" stance from the physician. This approach depends entirely on patients feeling comfortable enough to disclose what they are already using, which circles back to the communication gap discussed above.

7.4 Cost-effectiveness and health system economics

Health economists have only recently begun applying formal cost-effectiveness analysis to CAM interventions, and the results so far are modality-specific rather than uniform. Acupuncture for chronic low back pain, for instance, has shown reasonable cost-effectiveness in several health system analyses, largely because it can reduce downstream use of imaging, opioid prescriptions, and specialist referrals. Herbal supplementation, by contrast, is harder to evaluate economically precisely because the clinical benefit itself is less consistently established, which makes any cost-effectiveness claim built on top of that benefit similarly uncertain.

8. Challenges and Barriers to Evidence-Based Adoption

Several barriers continue to slow the broader, safer adoption of alternative medicine within evidence-based healthcare systems. Research funding for CAM modalities remains modest compared to pharmaceutical research budgets, which limits the pace at which higher-quality trials can be conducted. The heterogeneity of products and practices within single categories makes it genuinely difficult to generalize findings, a study of one turmeric extract does not necessarily apply to a different formulation sold under the same name. Regulatory frameworks remain fragmented across countries, and in many places, oversight of herbal product quality lags well behind pharmaceutical standards. Perhaps most importantly, the risk that patients might delay or abandon effective conventional treatment, for serious conditions especially, in favor of unproven alternatives remains a real and sometimes fatal possibility.

Health literacy and cultural factors add a further layer of difficulty. Patients navigating a health system in a second language, or one shaped by a cultural background different from the clinicians treating them, may find it genuinely harder to evaluate competing health claims, whether those claims come from a pharmaceutical advertisement or a traditional healer, and may reasonably default to whichever source feels more familiar or trustworthy. Addressing this is not simply a matter of handing out more pamphlets; it requires clinicians equipped to have these conversations across cultural and linguistic lines without either dismissing traditional belief outright or avoiding the safety conversation altogether.

9. Future Directions

Progress is likely to depend on several things happening in parallel: larger, better-designed trials using standardized, well-characterized products rather than whatever happened to be available; stronger pharmacovigilance systems built specifically to catch herb-drug interactions; closer alignment of quality standards across major regulatory jurisdictions; and meaningful expansion of CAM education in conventional medical and nursing training, so that providers can engage with patient disclosure constructively rather than dismissively. Continued growth of integrative medicine programs, where CAM and conventional care operate under shared clinical oversight, offers a practical template that other parts of the healthcare system could reasonably borrow from.

International research collaboration could also help close gaps that no single country is well positioned to close alone. Countries with long traditions of a particular system, India for Ayurveda, China for Traditional Chinese Medicine, are often best placed to

supply the depth of traditional knowledge and practitioner expertise that a rigorous trial needs, while countries with larger clinical trial infrastructure and funding bodies can contribute methodological rigor and scale. A handful of joint research initiatives along these lines already exist; expanding them, rather than leaving each country's regulatory and research systems to work in isolation, would likely accelerate the field's evidence base considerably faster than any single national effort could manage alone.

10. Conclusion

Alternative medicine is no longer operating at the edge of healthcare; it sits inside hospitals, insurance plans, and clinical guidelines in ways that would have seemed unlikely a generation ago. That shift has been earned selectively, not universally: mind-body practices and acupuncture have built real evidence behind them for pain and stress-related conditions, while large parts of the herbal medicine and energy-therapy world remain held back by inconsistent product quality, thin regulatory oversight, or implausible mechanisms. The task facing healthcare systems now is not whether to engage with alternative medicine, patients have already settled that question, but how to do so on evidence-based terms: better trials, better product standards, better training for clinicians, and above all, honest conversation between patients and the providers responsible for their care.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. *WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2014–2023.* Geneva: WHO.
2. World Health Organization. *WHO Global Report on Traditional and Complementary Medicine 2019.* Geneva: WHO.
3. National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH), U.S. National Institutes of Health. *Complementary, Alternative, or Integrative Health: What's In a Name?*
4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. *Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994 (DSHEA).*
5. European Medicines Agency. *Traditional Herbal Medicinal Products Directive 2004/24/EC: Overview.*
6. Ministry of AYUSH, Government of India. *About the Ministry: Ayurveda, Yoga and Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homoeopathy.*
7. Vickers AJ, Vertosick EA, Lewith G, et al. Acupuncture for chronic pain: update of an individual patient data meta-analysis. *J Pain.* 2018;19(5):455–474.
8. Cramer H, Lauche R, Haller H, Dobos G. A systematic review and meta-analysis of yoga for low back pain. *Clin J Pain.* 2013;29(5):450–460.
9. Goyal M, Singh S, Sibinga EM, et al. Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2014;174(3):357–368.
10. Garcia MK, McQuade J, Haddad R, et al. Systematic review of acupuncture in cancer care: a synthesis of the evidence. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(7):952–960.
11. Ernst E. Homeopathy: what does the "best" evidence tell us? *Med J Aust.* 2010;192(8):458–460.
12. Izzo AA, Ernst E. Interactions between herbal medicines and prescribed drugs: an updated systematic review. *Drugs.* 2009;69(13):1777–1798.
13. Posadzki P, Watson L, Ernst E. Contamination and adulteration of herbal medicinal products (HMPs): an overview of systematic reviews. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2013;69(3):295–307.
14. Barnes PM, Bloom B, Nahin RL. Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults and children: United States, 2007. *Natl Health Stat Report.* 2008;(12):1–23.
15. Horneber M, Bueschel G, Dennert G, et al. How many cancer patients use complementary and alternative medicine: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Integr Cancer Ther.* 2012;11(3):187–203.
16. Templeman K, Robinson A. Introducing complementary medicine into the medical curriculum. *J R Soc Med.* 2011;104(2):57–63.

How to cite this article:
Aaris et al. Ijppr.Human, 2026; Vol. 32 (10):382--388.
Conflict of Interest Statement: All authors have nothing else to disclose.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.